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#### Abstract

The volume of the $n$-dimensional polytope $$
\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x}):=\left\{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: y_{i} \geq 0 \text { and } y_{1}+\cdots+y_{i} \leq x_{1}+\cdots+x_{i} \text { for all } 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}
$$ for arbitrary $\boldsymbol{x}:=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ with $x_{i}>0$ for all $i$ defines a polynomial in variables $x_{i}$ which admits a number of interpretations, in terms of empirical distributions, plane partitions, and parking functions. We interpret the terms of this polynomial as the volumes of chambers in two different polytopal subdivisions of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$. The first of these subdivisions generalizes to a class of polytopes called sections of order cones. In the second subdivision, the chambers are indexed in a natural way by rooted binary trees with $n+1$ vertices, and the configuration of these chambers provides a representation of another polytope with many applications, the associahedron.
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## 1 Introduction

The focal point of this paper is the $n$-dimensional polytope

$$
\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x}):=\left\{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: y_{i} \geq 0 \text { and } y_{1}+\cdots+y_{i} \leq x_{1}+\cdots+x_{i} \text { for all } 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}
$$

for arbitrary $\boldsymbol{x}:=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ with $x_{i}>0$ for all $i$. The $n$-dimensional volume

$$
V_{n}(\boldsymbol{x}):=\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)
$$

is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $n$ in the variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \Gamma$ which we call the volume polynomial. This polynomial arises naturally in several different settings: in the calculation of probabilities derived from empirical distribution functions or the order statistics of $n$ independent random variables (see $\S 2$ ) Г and in the study of parking functions and plane partitions (see §5). See also Marckert and Chassaing [15] regarding similar connections between the theories of parking functions $\Gamma$ empirical processes $\Gamma$ and rooted trees.

Trivially $V_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})=x_{1}$. The formula

$$
V_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})=x_{1} x_{2}+\frac{1}{2} x_{1}^{2}
$$

has two natural interpretations by a subdivision of $\Pi_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})$ into 2 pieces of areas $x_{1} x_{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2} x_{1}^{2}$ Гas shown in Figure 1 for horizontal coordinate $x_{1}=1$ and vertical coordinate $x_{2}=2$.

The 5 terms of

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{3}(\boldsymbol{x})=x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}+\frac{1}{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}+\frac{1}{2} x_{1} x_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{3}+\frac{1}{6} x_{1}^{3} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be interpreted in two ways as the volumes determined by two different subdivisions of $\Pi_{3}(\boldsymbol{x})$ into 5 chambers $\Gamma$ as in the perspective diagrams of Figure 2 where $x_{i}=i$ for $i=1,2,3 \Gamma$ the first coordinate points out of the page $\Gamma$ the second to the right and the third up Гand the viewpoint is $(5,-2,4)$.

A central result of this paper is the general formula for the volume polynomial which we present in the following theorem. Section 2 offers a simple probabilistic proof of this


Figure 1: $\Pi_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and its two subdivisions


Figure 2: $\Pi_{3}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and its two subdivisions
theorem. We show in Section 4 how this argument can also be interpreted geometically by a subdivision of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ into a collection of $n$-dimensional chambers $\Gamma$ with the volume of each chamber corresponding to a term of the volume polynomial. This generalizes the subdivisions of $\Pi_{2}$ and $\Pi_{3}$ shown in the right hand panels of Figures 1 and 2. Technically $\Gamma$ by a subdivision of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ we mean a polytopal subdivision in the sense of Ziegler [39Гp. 129] Гand we call the $n$-dimensional polytopes involved the chambers of the subdivision. The subdivision of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ described in Section 4 is a specialization of a result presented in Section 3 in the general context of "sections of order cones". Section 6 shows how the subdivisions shown in the left hand panels of Figures 1 and 2 can be generalized to arbitrary $n$. The chambers of this subdivision of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ are indexed in a natural way by rooted binary plane trees with $n+1$ leaf vertices Гand the configuration of these chambers provides a representation of another interesting polytope with many applications「known as the associahedron.

Theorem 1 For each $n=1,2, \ldots$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{k \in K_{n}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}^{k_{i}}}{k_{i}!}=\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k \in K_{n}}\binom{n}{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}} x_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{k_{n}}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}:=\left\{\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}: \sum_{i=1}^{j} k_{i} \geq j \text { for all } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \text { and } \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i}=n\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathbb{N}:=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$.
In particular $\Gamma$ the number of nonzero coefficients in $V_{n}$ is the number of elements of $K_{n} \Gamma$ which is well known to be the $n$th Catalan number $C_{n}$ (see e.g. [34ГExer. 6.19(w)] for a simple variant) The first few of which are $1,2,5,14,42,132, \ldots$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# K_{n}=C_{n}:=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formula (2) should be compared with the following alternate formula which as indicated in Section 2 can be read from a formula of Steck [36Г 37] for the cumulative distribution function of the random vector of order statistics of $n$ independent random variables with uniform distribution on an interval:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})=\operatorname{det}\left[\frac{1(j-i+1 \geq 0)}{(j-i+1)!}\left(\sum_{h=1}^{i} x_{h}\right)^{j-i+1}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{det}\left[a_{i j}\right]_{1<i, j<n}$ denotes the determinant of the $n \times n$ matrix with entries $a_{i j}$ Гand $1(\cdots)$ equals 1 if $\cdots$ and 0 else. See [23] for an elementary probabilistic proof of (5). This formula allows the expansion of $V_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ into monomial terms to be generated for arbitary $n$ by just few lines of Mathematica code.

Another formula of Steck [36Г37] [with an elementary proof in [23] Гgives the number $\#(b, c)$ of $j \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ with $j_{1}<j_{2}<\cdots<j_{n}$ and $b_{i}<j_{i}<c_{i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ for arbitrary $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ with $b_{1} \leq b_{2} \leq \cdots<b_{n}$ and $c_{1} \leq c_{2} \leq \cdots<c_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\#(b, c)=\operatorname{det}\left[1\left(j-i+1 \geq 0, c_{i}-b_{j}>1\right)\binom{c_{i}-b_{j}+j-i-1}{j-i+1}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We explain after the proof of Theorem 12 how these formulae (5) and (6) can be deduced from a result of MacMahon on the enumeration of plane partitions.

In Section 2 we deduce the following special evaluations of the volume polynomial from some well known results in the theory of empirical distributions: for $a, b \geq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
n!V_{n}(a, b, \ldots, b)=a(a+n b)^{n-1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

while for $n \geq 3$ and $a, b, c \geq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
n!V_{n}(a, \overbrace{b, \ldots, b}^{n-2 \text { places }}, c)=a(a+n b)^{n-1}+n a(c-b)(a+(n-1) b)^{n-2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $n \geq 3 \Gamma 1 \leq m \leq n-2$ and $a, b, c \geq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
n!V_{n}(a, \overbrace{b, \ldots, b}^{n-m-1 \text { places }} c, \overbrace{0, \ldots, 0}^{m-1 \text { places }})=a \sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{n}{j}(c-(m+1-j) b)^{j}(a+(n-j) b)^{n-j-1} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we indicate in Section 5「these formulae read from the theory of empirical distributions have interesting combinatorial interpretations in terms of parking functions and plane partitions.

## 2 Uniform Order Statistics and Empirical Distribution Functions

Let $\left(U_{n, i}, 1 \leq i \leq n\right)$ be the order statistics of $n$ independent uniform $(0,1)$ variables $U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{n}$. That is to $\operatorname{say} \Gamma U_{n, 1} \leq U_{n, 2} \leq \cdots \leq U_{n, n}$ are the ranked values of the
$U_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Because the random vectors $\left(U_{n, j}, 1 \leq j \leq n\right)$ and $\left(1-U_{n, n+1-j}, 1 \leq j \leq n\right)$ have the same uniform distribution with constant density $n$ ! on the simplex

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: 0 \leq u_{1} \leq \cdots \leq u_{n} \leq 1\right\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary vectors $\boldsymbol{r}$ and $\boldsymbol{s}$ in this simplex there are the formulae

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(U_{n, j} \leq s_{j} \text { for all } 1 \leq j \leq n\right)=n!V_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \text { where } x_{j}:=s_{j}-s_{j-1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{0}:=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(U_{n, j} \geq r_{j} \text { for all } 1 \leq j \leq n\right)=n!V_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \text { where } x_{j}:=r_{n+2-j}-r_{n+1-j} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{n+1}:=1$. Thus the probability

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}):=P\left(r_{j} \leq U_{n, j} \leq s_{j} \text { for all } 1 \leq j \leq n\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be evaluated in terms of $V_{n}$ if either $\boldsymbol{r}=\mathbf{0}$ or $\boldsymbol{s}=\mathbf{1}$. See [30Г $\left.\S 9.3\right]$ for a review of results involving these probabilities Гincluding various recursion formulae which are useful for their computation.
Proof of Theorem 1. By homogeneity of $V_{n} \Gamma$ it suffices to prove the formula when $s_{n} \leq 1$. Fix $\boldsymbol{x}$ and consider the probability (11). For $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ let $N_{i}$ denote the number of $U_{n, i}$ that fall in the interval $\left(s_{i-1}, s_{i}\right] \Gamma$ with the conventions $s_{0}=0$ and $s_{n+1}=1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i}:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1\left(s_{i-1}<U_{n, i} \leq s_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1\left(s_{i-1}<U_{i} \leq s_{i}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second expression for $N_{i}$ shows that the random vector ( $N_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n+1$ ) has the multinomial distribution with parameters $n$ and $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)$ for $x_{i}:=s_{i}-s_{i-1} \Gamma$ meaning that for each vector of $n+1$ nonnegative integers $\left(k_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n+1\right)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} k_{i}=n$ Twe have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(N_{i}=k_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n+1\right)=n!\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{x_{i}^{k_{i}}}{k_{i}!} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition of the $U_{n, j}$ and (14) ) the events $\left(U_{n, j} \leq s_{j}\right)$ and $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{j} N_{i} \geq j\right)$ are identical. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(U_{n, j} \leq s_{j} \text { for all } 1 \leq j \leq n\right)=P\left(\Sigma_{i=1}^{j} N_{i} \geq j \text { for all } 1 \leq j \leq n\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{k \in K_{n}} P\left(N_{i}=k_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n, N_{n+1}=0\right)=n!\sum_{k \in K_{n}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}^{k_{i}}}{k_{i}!}
\end{aligned}
$$

by application of (15) with $k_{n+1}=0$. Compare the result of this calculation with (11) to obtain (2).

It is easily seen that the decomposition of the event (11) considered in the above argument corresponds to a polytopal subdivision of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ which for $n=2$ and $n=3$ is that shown in the right hand panels of Figures 1 and 2. See Section 4 for further discussion of this subdivision of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$.

The following corollary of Theorem 1 spells out two more probabilistic interpretations of $V_{n}$.

Corollary 2 Let $\left(N_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n+1\right)$ be a random vector with multinomial distribution with parameters $n$ and $\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n+1}\right)$, as if $N_{i}$ is the number of times $i$ appears in a sequence of $n$ independent trials with probability $p_{i}$ of getting $i$ on each trial for $1 \leq i \leq$ $n+1$, where $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} p_{i}=1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\Sigma_{j=1}^{i} N_{j} \geq i \text { for all } 1 \leq i \leq n\right)=n!V_{n}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i} N_{j}<i \text { for all } 1 \leq i \leq n\right)=n!V_{n}\left(p_{n+1}, p_{n}, \ldots, p_{2}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The first formula is read from the previous proof of (2). The second is just the first applied to $\left(\widehat{N}_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{N}_{n+1}\right):=\left(N_{n+1}, \ldots, N_{1}\right)$ instead of $\left(N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n+1}\right) \Gamma$ because

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{j} \widehat{N}_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{j} N_{n+2-i}=n-\sum_{i=1}^{n+1-j} N_{i}
$$

so that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{j} \widehat{N}_{i} \geq j \text { iff } \sum_{i=1}^{n+1-j} N_{i}<n+1-j
$$

and hence the event that $\sum_{i=1}^{j} \widehat{N}_{i} \leq j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$ is identical to the event that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} N_{i}<m$ for all $1 \leq m \leq n$.

Let

$$
F_{n}(t):=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1\left(U_{i} \leq t\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1\left(U_{n, i} \leq t\right)
$$

be the usual empirical distribution function associated with the uniform random sample $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$. So $F_{n}$ rises by a step of $1 / n$ at each of the sample points. It is well known [30] that for any for continuous increasing functions $f$ and $g$ Гthe probability

$$
P\left(f(t) \leq F_{n}(t) \leq g(t) \text { for all } t\right)
$$

equals $P_{n}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s})$ as in (13) where $\boldsymbol{r}$ and $\boldsymbol{s}$ are easily expressed in terms of values of the inverse functions of $f$ and $g$ at $i / n$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. As an exampleГDaniels [3] discovered the remarkable fact that for $0 \leq p \leq 1$ the probability that the empirical distribution function does not cross the line joining $(0,0)$ to $(p, 1)$ equals $1-p \Gamma$ no matter what $n=1,2, \ldots$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(F_{n}(t) \leq t / p \text { for all } 0 \leq t \leq 1\right)=1-p \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(U_{n, i} \geq i p / n \text { for all } 1 \leq i \leq n\right)=1-p . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

As observed in [24Г Chapter X]ГDaniels' formula (18) can be understood without calculation by an argument which gives the stronger result of Tákacs [38 Theorem 13.1] that this formula holds with $F_{n}$ replaced by $F$ for any random right-continuous nondecreasing step function $F$ with cyclically exchangeable increments and $F(0)=0$ and $F(1)=1$. Essentially t this is a continuous parameter form of the ballot theorem. Many other proofs of Daniels' formula are known: see [30Г§9.1] and papers cited there. The form (19) of Daniels' formula is equivalent via (12) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
n!V_{n}(1-p, p / n, \ldots, p / n)=1-p \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq p \leq 1$. By homogeneity of $V_{n}$ Tthis amounts to the identity (7) of polynomials in two variables $a$ and $b$.

Pyke [25ГLemma 1] found the following formula: for all real $b$ and $x$ with

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 \leq b \leq 1 \text { and } 0 \leq n b-x \leq 1,  \tag{21}\\
P\left(\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left(b i-U_{n, i}\right) \leq x\right)=(1+x-n b) \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor x / a\rfloor}\binom{n}{j}(j b-x)^{j}(1+x-j b)^{n-j-1} . \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

As indicated in [30Гp. 354 Г Exercise 2] $\Gamma$ this formula gives gives an expression for the probability that the empirical cumulative distribution function based on a sample of $n$ independent uniform $(0,1)$ variables crosses an arbitrary straight line through the unit
square. See $[30 \Gamma \S 9.1]$ for proof of an equivalent of (22) $\Gamma$ various related results $\Gamma$ and further references. The identity in distribution

$$
\left(U_{n, i}, 1 \leq i \leq n\right) \stackrel{d}{=}\left(1-U_{n, n+1-i}, 1 \leq i \leq n\right)
$$

shows that the probability in (22) equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(U_{n, i} \leq 1+x-n b+b(i-1) \text { for all } 1 \leq i \leq n\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which according to (11) is equal in turn to

$$
n!V_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \text { for } x_{i}= \begin{cases}1+x-n b & \text { if } i=1  \tag{24}\\ b & \text { if } 2 \leq i<n-\lfloor x / a\rfloor+1 \\ (n-i+2) b-x & \text { if } i=n-\lfloor x / a\rfloor+1 \\ 0 & \text { if } i>n-\lfloor x / a\rfloor+1\end{cases}
$$

For $a:=1+x-n b$ and $b$ subject to (21) $\Gamma$ that is $0<a \leq 1$ and $0 \leq b \leq 1 \Gamma$ the above discussion gives us equality of (22) and (24) with $x=a+n b-1$. In particular Pprovided $0 \leq x<a$ there is only a term for $j=0$ in (22) Гso the equality of (22) and (24) reduces to (7). Similarly「for $a \leq x<2 a$ there are only terms for $j=0$ and $j=1$ in (22). For $n \geq 3$ this allows us to deduce (8) from (22) first for $a, b, c>0$ with $a+(n-2) b+c=1$ and $c<b \Gamma$ thence as an identity of polynomials in $a, b, c$. Similarly $\Gamma$ for $n \geq 3$ and $1 \leq m \leq n-2$ when $\lfloor x / a\rfloor=m$ we obtain the identity (9) of polynomials in $a, b, c$.

According to Steck [36Г37]Гfor $\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}$ in the simplex (10) there is the following determinantal formula for $P_{n}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s})$ as in (13):

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s})=n!\operatorname{det}\left[\frac{1(j-i+1 \geq 0)}{(j-i+1)!}\left(s_{i}-r_{j}\right)_{+}^{j-i+1}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The special case of (5) when $s_{n} \leq 1$ can be read from (11) $\Gamma(13)$ and the special case of (25) with $\boldsymbol{r}=\mathbf{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{s}$ the vector of partial sums of $\boldsymbol{x}$. The general case of (5) follows by homogeneity of $V_{n}$ from the special case $\Gamma$ with $x_{i}$ replaced by $x_{i} / \sigma$ for arbitrary $\sigma \geq$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$. See also Niederhausen [22] $\Gamma$ where probabilities of the form (25) are expressed in terms of Sheffer polynomials.

## 3 Sections of order cones

We will obtain some results for a class of polytopes we call "sections of order cones" and then show in the next section how these results apply directly to $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$. Let $P$ be


Figure 3: A partially ordered set
a partial ordering of the set $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{p}\right\} \Gamma$ such that if $\alpha_{i}<\alpha_{j}$ then $i<j$. A linear extension of $P$ is an order-preserving bijection $\pi: P \rightarrow[p]=\{1,2, \ldots, p\} \Gamma$ so if $z<z^{\prime}$ in $P$ then $\pi(z)<\pi\left(z^{\prime}\right)$. We will identify $\pi$ with the permutation (written as a word) $a_{1} \cdots a_{p}$ of $[p]$ defined by $\pi\left(\alpha_{a_{i}}\right)=i$. In particular $\Gamma$ the identity permutation $12 \cdots p$ is a linear extension of $P$. Let $\mathcal{L}(P)$ denote the set of linear extensions of $P$. Given $\pi=a_{1} \cdots a_{p} \in \mathcal{L}(P)$ define $\mathcal{A}_{\pi}$ to be the set of all order-preserving maps $f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\alpha_{a_{1}}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{a_{2}}\right) \leq \cdots \leq f\left(\alpha_{a_{p}}\right) \\
& f\left(\alpha_{a_{j}}\right)<f\left(\alpha_{a_{j+1}}\right), \text { if } a_{j}>a_{j+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A basic property of order-preserving maps $f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by the following theorem Wwhich is equivalent to [32ГLemma 4.5.3(a)].

Theorem 3 The set of all order-preserving maps $f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a disjoint union of the sets $\mathcal{A}_{\pi}$ as $\pi$ ranges over $\mathcal{L}(P)$.

For instanceГif $P$ is given by Figure 3 then the order-preserving maps $f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are partitioned by the following seven conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{3}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{4}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{5}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{6}\right) \\
& f\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{3}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{5}\right)<f\left(\alpha_{4}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{6}\right) \\
& f\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{3}\right)<f\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{4}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{5}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{6}\right) \\
& f\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{3}\right)<f\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{5}\right)<f\left(\alpha_{4}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{6}\right)  \tag{26}\\
& f\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{3}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{5}\right)<f\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{4}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{6}\right) \\
& f\left(\alpha_{2}\right)<f\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{3}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{4}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{5}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{6}\right) \\
& f\left(\alpha_{2}\right)<f\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{3}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{5}\right)<f\left(\alpha_{4}\right) \leq f\left(\alpha_{6}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Define the order cone $\mathcal{C}(P)$ of the poset $P$ to be the set of all order-preserving maps $f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Thus $\mathcal{C}(P)$ is a pointed polyhedral cone in the space $\mathbb{R}^{P}$. Assume now that $P$ has a unique maximal element $\hat{1} \Gamma$ and let $t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n}=\hat{1}$ be a chain $C$ in $P$.
(With a little more work we could relax the assumption that $C$ is a chain. The condition that $t_{n}=\hat{1}$ entails no real loss of generality since we can just adjoin a $\hat{1}$ to $P$ and include it in $C$.) Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be nonnegative real numbers. Set $u_{i}=x_{1}+\cdots+x_{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{u}=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$. Let $W_{\boldsymbol{u}}$ denote the subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{P}$ defined by $f\left(t_{i}\right)=u_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Define the order cone section $\mathcal{C}_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})$ to be the intersection $\mathcal{C}(P) \cap W_{u}$ Trestricted to the coordinates $P-C$. (The restriction to the coordinates $P-C$ merely deletes constant coordinates and has no effect on the geometric and combinatorial structure of $\mathcal{C}(P) \cap W_{u}$.) Equivalently $\boldsymbol{C}_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})$ is the set of all order-preserving maps $f: P-C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that the extension of $f$ to $P$ defined by $f\left(t_{i}\right)=u_{i}$ remains order-preserving. Note that $\mathcal{C}_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})$ is bounded since for all $s \in P-C$ and all $f \in \mathcal{C}_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})$ we have $0 \leq f(s) \leq u_{n}$. Thus $\mathcal{C}_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})$ is a convex polytope contained in $\mathbb{R}^{P-C}$. MoreoverTdim $\mathcal{C}_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})=|P-C|$ provided each $x_{i}>0$ (or in certain other situations $\Gamma$ such as when no element of $P-C$ is greater than $t_{1}$ ).

There is an alternative way to view the polytope $\mathcal{C}_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{n}$ be convex polytopes (or just convex bodies) in the same ambient space $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ 「and let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Define the Minkowski sum (or more accuratelyГ Minkowski linear combination)

$$
x_{1} \mathcal{P}_{1}+\cdots+x_{n} \mathcal{P}_{n}=\left\{x_{1} X_{1}+\cdots+x_{n} X_{n}: X_{i} \in \mathcal{P}_{i}\right\} .
$$

Then $\mathcal{Q}=x_{1} \mathcal{P}_{1}+\cdots+x_{n} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ is a convex polytope that was first investigated by Minkowski (at least for $m \leq 3$ ) and whose study belongs to the subject of integral geometry (e.g. $\Gamma$ [29]). In particular $\Gamma$ the $m$-dimensional volume of $\mathcal{Q}$ has the form

$$
\operatorname{Vol}(\mathcal{Q})=\sum_{\substack{a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}=m \\ a_{i} \in \mathbb{N}}}\binom{m}{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}} V\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}^{a_{1}}, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{n}^{a_{n}}\right) x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}}
$$

where $V\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}^{a_{1}}, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{n}^{a_{n}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. These numbers are known as the mixed volumes of the polytopes $\mathcal{P}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{n}$ and have been extensively investigated.

Now suppose that $\mathcal{P}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{n}$ are integer polytopes (i.e. C their vertices have integer coordinates) in $\mathbb{R}^{m} \Gamma$ and let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathbb{N}$. Given any integer polytope $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ Twrite

$$
N(\mathcal{P})=\#\left(\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{m}\right)
$$

the number of integer points in $\mathcal{P}$. Then we call $N\left(x_{1} \mathcal{P}_{1}+\cdots+x_{n} \mathcal{P}_{n}\right) \Gamma$ regarded as a function of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathbb{N} \Gamma$ the mixed lattice point enumerator of $\mathcal{P}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{n}$. It was shown by McMullen [16] (see also [17][18] for two related survey articles) that $N\left(x_{1} \mathcal{P}_{1}+\right.$ $\cdots+x_{n} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ ) is a polynomial in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ (with rational coefficients) of total degree at most $m$. Moreover $\Gamma$ the terms of degree $m$ are given by $\operatorname{Vol}\left(x_{1} \mathcal{P}_{1}+\cdots+x_{n} \mathcal{P}_{n}\right)$. Hence the coefficients of the terms of degree $m$ are nonnegative ${ }^{\text {lbut }}$ in general the coefficients
of $N\left(x_{1} \mathcal{P}_{1}+\cdots+x_{n} \mathcal{P}_{n}\right)$ may be negative. In the special case $n=1 \Gamma$ the mixed lattice point enumerator $N(x \mathcal{P})$ is called the Ehrhart polynomial of the integer polytope $\mathcal{P}$ and is denoted $i(\mathcal{P}, x)$. An introduction to Ehrhart polynomials appears in [32Гpp. 235-241].

Define the order polytope $\mathcal{O}(P)$ of the finite poset $P$ to be the set of all orderpreserving maps $f: P \rightarrow[0,1]=\{x \in \mathbb{R}: 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$. Thus $\mathcal{O}(P)$ is a convex polytope in $\mathbb{R}^{P}$ of dimension $|P|$. The basic properties of order polytopes are developed in [31].

Theorem 4 Given $P, C$, and $\boldsymbol{u}$ as above, so $u_{i}=x_{1}+\cdots+x_{i}$, let

$$
P_{i}=\left\{s \in P-C: s \nless t_{i-1}\right\}
$$

(with $P_{1}=P-C$ ). Regard the order polytope $\mathcal{O}\left(P_{i}\right)$ as lying in $\mathbb{R}^{P-C}$ by setting coordinates indexed by elements of $(P-C)-P_{i}$ equal to 0 . Then

$$
\mathcal{C}_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})=x_{1} \mathcal{O}\left(P_{1}\right)+x_{2} \mathcal{O}\left(P_{2}\right)+\cdots+x_{n} \mathcal{O}\left(P_{n}\right)
$$

Proof. We can regard $\mathcal{O}\left(P_{i}\right)$ as the set of order preserving maps $f: P-C \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $f(s)=0$ if $s<t_{i-1}$. From this it is clear that every element of $x_{1} \mathcal{O}\left(P_{1}\right)+$ $x_{2} \mathcal{O}\left(P_{2}\right)+\cdots+x_{n} \mathcal{O}\left(P_{n}\right)$ is an order-preserving map $g: P-C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that the extension of $g$ to $P$ defined by $g\left(t_{i}\right)=x_{1}+\cdots+x_{i}$ remains order-preserving. Hence

$$
\mathcal{C}_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u}) \supseteq x_{1} \mathcal{O}\left(P_{1}\right)+x_{2} \mathcal{O}\left(P_{2}\right)+\cdots+x_{n} \mathcal{O}\left(P_{n}\right) .
$$

For the converse Fwe may assume (by deleting elements of $P$ if necessary) that each $x_{i}>0$. let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})$. Let $s \in P_{C}$ and define $g_{1}(s)=f(s)$ and $f_{1}(s)=\min \left(1, x_{1}^{-1} g_{1}(s)\right)$. Set

$$
g_{2}(s)=g_{1}(s)-x_{1} f_{1}(s)=\max \left(g_{1}(s)-x_{1}, 0\right)
$$

Now let $f_{2}(s)=\min \left(1, x_{2}^{-1} g_{2}(s)\right)$ and set

$$
g_{3}(s)=g_{2}(s)-x_{2} f_{2}(s)=\max \left(g_{2}(s)-x_{2}, 0\right)
$$

Continuing in this way gives functions $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n} \Gamma$ for which it can be checked that $f_{i} \in \mathcal{O}\left(P_{i}\right)$ and

$$
f=x_{1} f_{1}+\cdots+x_{n} f_{n},
$$

so

$$
\mathcal{C}_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u}) \subseteq x_{1} \mathcal{O}\left(P_{1}\right)+x_{2} \mathcal{O}\left(P_{2}\right)+\cdots+x_{n} \mathcal{O}\left(P_{n}\right)
$$

We now want to give a formula for the number of integer points in $\mathcal{C}_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})$ एwhich by Theorem 4 is just the mixed lattice point enumerator of the polytopes $\mathcal{O}\left(P_{i}\right)$. Let $C$ be the chain $t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n}=\hat{1}$ as above. Given $\pi=a_{1} \cdots a_{p} \in \mathcal{L}(P) \Gamma$ write $h_{i}(\pi)$ for the height of $t_{i}$ in $\pi$ Гi.e. $\Gamma t_{i}=\pi^{-1}\left(a_{h_{i}(\pi)}\right)$. Thus $1 \leq h_{1}(\pi)<\cdots<h_{n}(\pi)=p$. Also write

$$
d_{i}(\pi)=\#\left\{j: h_{i-1}(\pi) \leq j<h_{i}(\pi), a_{j}>a_{j+1}\right\}
$$

where we set $h_{0}(\pi)=0$ and $a_{0}=0$. Thus $d_{i}(\pi)$ is the number of descents of $\pi$ appearing between $h_{i-1}(\pi)$ and $h_{i}(\pi)$. Recall (e.g. $\left.[32 \Gamma \S 1.2]\right)$ that the number of ways to choose $j$ objects with repetition from a set of $k$ objects is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\binom{k}{j}\right)=\binom{k+j-1}{j}=\frac{k(k+1) \cdots(k+j-1)}{j!} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Regarding $\left(\binom{k}{j}\right)$ as a polynomial in $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ Гnote that $\left(\binom{k}{j}\right)=0$ for $-j+1 \leq k \leq 0$.
Theorem 5 We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\mathcal{C}_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})\right)=\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{L}(P)} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(\binom{x_{i}-d_{i}(\pi)+1}{h_{i}(\pi)-h_{i-1}(\pi)-1}\right) . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Fix $\pi=a_{1} \cdots a_{p} \in \mathcal{L}(P)$. Write $h_{i}=h_{i}(\pi)$ and $d_{i}=d_{i}(\pi)$. Let $f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an order-preserving map such that (a) $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\pi} \Gamma$ (b) $f\left(t_{i}\right)=u_{i}=x_{1}+\cdots+x_{i} \Gamma$ and (c) the restriction $\left.f\right|_{P-C}$ of $f$ to $P-C$ satisfies $\left.f\right|_{P-C} \in \mathcal{C}_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})$. If we write $c_{i}=f\left(\alpha_{a_{i}}\right) \Gamma$ then for fixed $\pi$ it follows from Theorem 3 that the integer points $\left.f\right|_{P-C} \in \mathcal{C}_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})$ Гwhere $f$ satisfies (a) and (b) Гare given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 \leq c_{1} \leq c_{2} \leq \cdots \leq c_{h_{1}}=x_{1} \leq c_{h_{1}+1} \leq \cdots \leq c_{h_{2}}=x_{1}+x_{2} \\
\leq \cdots \leq c_{p}=x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}  \tag{29}\\
c_{j}<c_{j+1} \text { if } a_{j}>a_{j+1} . \tag{30}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $\alpha, \beta, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq j_{1}<j_{2}<\cdots<j_{q} \leq m$. Elementary combinatorial reasoning shows that the number of integer vectors $\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha=r_{0} \leq r_{1} \leq \cdots \leq r_{m} \leq r_{m+1}=\alpha+\beta \\
r_{j_{i}}<r_{j_{i}}+1 \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq q
\end{gathered}
$$

is equal to $\left.\binom{\beta-q+1}{m}\right)$. Hence the number of integer sequences satisfying (29) and (30) is given by

$$
\left(\binom{x_{1}-d_{1}+1}{h_{1}-1}\right)\left(\binom{x_{2}-d_{2}+1}{h_{2}-h_{1}-1}\right) \cdots\left(\binom{x_{n}-d_{n}+1}{h_{n}-h_{n-1}-1}\right)
$$

Summing over all $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(P)$ yields (28).

Example 6 Let $P$ be given by Figure 3, and let $t_{1}=\alpha_{1}, t_{2}=\alpha_{3}$, and $t_{3}=\alpha_{6}$. The conditions in equation (26) become in the notation of the above proof as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leq c_{1}=x_{1} \leq c_{2} \leq c_{3}=x_{1}+x_{2} \leq c_{4} \leq c_{5} \leq c_{6}=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3} \\
& 0 \leq c_{1}=x_{1} \leq c_{2} \leq c_{3}=x_{1}+x_{2} \leq c_{4}<c_{5} \leq c_{6}=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3} \\
& 0 \leq c_{1}=x_{1} \leq c_{2}=x_{1}+x_{2}<c_{3} \leq c_{4} \leq c_{5} \leq c_{6}=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3} \\
& 0 \leq c_{1}=x_{1} \leq c_{2}=x_{1}+x_{2}<c_{3} \leq c_{4}<c_{5} \leq c_{6}=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3} \\
& 0 \leq c_{1}=x_{1} \leq c_{2}=x_{1}+x_{2} \leq c_{3}<c_{4} \leq c_{5} \leq c_{6}=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3} \\
& 0 \leq c_{1}<c_{2}=x_{1} \leq c_{3}=x_{1}+x_{2} \leq c_{4} \leq c_{5} \leq c_{6}=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3} \\
& 0 \leq c_{1}<c_{2}=x_{1} \leq c_{3}=x_{1}+x_{2} \leq c_{4}<c_{5} \leq c_{6}=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

yielding

$$
\begin{gathered}
N\left(\mathcal{C}_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})\right)=\left(\binom{x_{2}+1}{1}\right)\left(\binom{x_{3}+1}{2}\right)+\left(\binom{x_{2}+1}{1}\right)\left(\binom{x_{3}}{2}\right)+\left(\binom{x_{3}}{3}\right) \\
\left.+\left(\binom{x_{3}-1}{3}\right)+\left(\binom{x_{3}}{3}\right)+\left(\binom{x_{1}}{1}\right)\right)\left(\binom{x_{3}+1}{2}\right)+\left(\binom{x_{1}}{1}\right)\left(\binom{x_{3}}{2}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We mentioned earlier that the terms of highest degree (here of degree $|P-C|$ ) of $N\left(x_{1} \mathcal{P}_{1}+\cdots+x_{n} \mathcal{P}_{n}\right)$ are given by $\operatorname{Vol}\left(x_{1} \mathcal{P}_{1}+\cdots+x_{n} \mathcal{P}_{n}\right)$. Hence we obtain from Theorem 5 the following result.

Corollary 7 The volume of $\mathcal{C}_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol}\left(\mathcal{C}_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})\right)=\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{L}(P)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}^{h_{i}(\pi)-h_{i-1}(\pi)}}{\left(h_{i}(\pi)-h_{i-1}(\pi)\right)!} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus if $m=|P-C|$ then the mixed volume $m!\cdot V\left(\mathcal{O}\left(P_{1}\right)^{a_{1}}, \ldots, \mathcal{O}\left(P_{n}\right)^{a_{n}}\right)$ is equal to the number of linear extensions $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(P)$ such that $t_{i}$ has height $a_{1}+\cdots+a_{i}$ in $\pi$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

The case $n=2$ of Corollary 7 (or equivalently the case $n=1$ where $t_{1}$ can be any element of $P$ Гnot just the top element) appears in $[31 \Gamma(16)]$.

The product of two polytopes $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ and $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{q}$ is defined to be their cartesian product $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q}$. If $\bar{L}(\mathcal{P})$ denotes the poset of nonempty faces of $\mathcal{P} \Gamma$ then $\bar{L}(\mathcal{P} \times$ $\mathcal{Q})=\bar{L}(\mathcal{P}) \times \bar{L}(\mathcal{Q})($ see Ziegler $[39 \Gamma \mathrm{pp} .9-10])$. If $\mathcal{P}$ is a $d$-simplex $\Gamma$ then $\bar{L}(\mathcal{P})$ is just a boolean algebra of rank $d$ with the minimum element removed. Moreover $\Gamma$ the product of $n$ one-dimensional simplices is combinatorially equivalent (even affinely equivalent) to a $d$-cube. If $\pi=a_{1} \cdots a_{p} \in \mathcal{L}_{P} \Gamma$ then define $\Lambda_{\pi}$ to be the subset of $\mathcal{C}_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})$ given by equation (29). Thus when each $x_{i}>0$ we have that $\Lambda_{\pi}$ is a product of simplices of dimensions $h_{1}-1 \Gamma h_{2}-h_{1}-1, \ldots, h_{p}-h_{1}-1 \Gamma$ and

$$
\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Lambda_{\pi}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}^{h_{i}(\pi)-h_{i-1}(\pi)}}{\left(h_{i}(\pi)-h_{i-1}(\pi)\right)!} .
$$

Moreover $\Gamma$ the $\Lambda_{\pi}$ 's form the chambers of a polyhedral decomposition $\Omega_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})$ of $\mathcal{C}_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})$. We regard $\Omega_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})$ as the set of all faces of the $\Lambda_{\pi}$ 's (including the $\Lambda_{\pi}$ 's themselves) $\Gamma$ partially ordered by inclusion. Note that the formula (31) corresponds to an explicit decomposition of $\mathcal{C}_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})$ into "nice" pieces (products of simplices) whose volumes are the terms in (31).

Our next result concerns the combinatorial structure of the decomposition of $\mathcal{C}_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})$ into the chambers $\Lambda_{\pi}$. First we review some information from [31Г§5] about the cone $\mathcal{C}(P)$ of all order-preserving maps $f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. (The paper [31] actually deals with the order complex $\mathcal{O}(P)$ rather than the cone $\mathcal{C}(P)$ 「but this does not affect our arguments.) Recall (e.g. $\Gamma[32 \Gamma \mathrm{p} .100]$ ) that an order ideal $I$ of $P$ is a subset of $P$ such that if $t \in I$ and $s<t \Gamma$ then $s \in I$. The poset (actually a distributive lattice) of all order ideals of $P \Gamma$ ordered by inclusion $\Gamma$ is denoted $J(P)$. Given a chain $K: \emptyset=I_{0}<I_{1}<\cdots<I_{k}=P$ in $J(P)$ Гdefine $\mathcal{C}_{K}(P)$ to consist of all $f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq f\left(I_{1}\right) \leq f\left(I_{2}-I_{1}\right) \leq \cdots \leq f\left(I_{k}-I_{k-1}\right), \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(S)$ denotes the common value of $f$ at all the elements of the subset $S$ of $P$. Clearly $\mathcal{C}_{K}(P)$ is a $k$-dimensional cone in $\mathbb{R}^{P}$. It is not hard to see that the set $\Omega(P)=$ $\left\{\mathcal{C}_{K}(P): K\right.$ is a chain in $J(P)$ containing $\emptyset$ and $\left.P\right\}$ is a triangulation of $\mathcal{C}(P)$. The chambers (maximal faces) of $\Omega(P)$ consist of the cones

$$
0 \leq f\left(\alpha_{a_{1}}\right) \leq \cdots \leq f\left(\alpha_{a_{p}}\right),
$$

where $\pi=a_{1} \cdots a_{p} \in \mathcal{L}(P)$. Moreover $\Gamma \mathcal{C}_{K}(P)$ is an interior face of $\Omega(P)$ (i.e. Tdoes not lie on the boundary) if and only if each subset $I_{i}-I_{i-1}$ of equation (32) is an antichain $\Gamma$
i.e. Tno two distinct elements of $I_{i}-I_{i-1}$ are comparable. Such chains of $J(P)$ are called Loewy chains. Let $\Omega^{\circ}(P)$ denote the set of interior faces of $\Omega(P)$ regarded as a partially ordered set under inclusion. Thus $\Omega^{\circ}(P)$ is isomorphic to the set of Loewy chains of $J(P)$ Гordered by inclusion. Similarly「we let $\Omega_{C}^{\circ}(P, \boldsymbol{u})$ denote the set of interior faces of the polyhedral decomposition $\Omega_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})$.

Theorem 8 Let $W_{u}$ denote the subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{P}$ given by $f\left(t_{i}\right)=u_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Define a map $\phi: \Omega^{\circ}(P) \rightarrow \Omega_{C}^{\circ}(P, \boldsymbol{u})$ by letting $\phi\left(\mathcal{C}_{K}(P)\right)$ equal $\phi_{K}(P) \cap W_{u}$ restricted to the coordinates $P-C$. Then $\phi$ is an isomorphism of posets.

Proof. Let (32) define an interior face $\mathcal{C}_{K}(P)$ of $\mathcal{C}(P) \Gamma$ so $\emptyset=I_{0}<I_{1}<\cdots<I_{k}=P$ is a Loewy chain. Thus each set $I_{j}-I_{j-1}$ contains at most one element of the chain $C: t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n}$. Let $t_{i} \in I_{j_{i}}-I_{j_{i}-1}$. (In particular $\Gamma j_{n}=k$ since $t_{n}=\hat{1}$.) Then $\phi\left(\mathcal{C}_{K}(P)\right)$ is defined by the equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \leq f\left(I_{1}\right) \leq f\left(I_{2}-I_{1}\right) \leq \cdots \leq f\left(I_{j_{1}}-I_{j_{1}-1}\right)=u_{1} \\
\leq f\left(I_{j_{1}+1}-I_{j_{1}}\right) \leq \cdots \leq f\left(I_{j_{2}}-I_{j_{2}-1}\right)=u_{2} \leq \cdots \leq f\left(I_{k}-I_{k-1}\right)=u_{n} .
\end{gathered}
$$

It follows immediately that $\phi$ is a bijection Tand that two Loewy chains $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ satisfy $K \subseteq K^{\prime}$ if and only if $\phi\left(\mathcal{C}_{K}(P)\right) \subseteq \phi\left(\mathcal{C}_{K^{\prime}}(P)\right)$. Hence $\phi$ is a poset isomorphism.

The point of Theorem 8 is that it gives a simple combinatorial description (namely $\Gamma$ the poset $\Omega^{\circ}(P)$ Гwhich is isomorphic to the set of Loewy chains of $J(P)$ under inclusion) of the geometrically defined poset $\Omega_{C}^{\circ}(P, \boldsymbol{u})$. Note that $\Omega^{\circ}(P)$ depends only on $P \Gamma$ not on the chain $C$.

## $4 \quad \Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ as a section of an order cone

In this section we will apply the theory developed in the previous section to $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$. Let us say that two integer polytopes $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^{k}$ and $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ are integrally equivalent if there is an affine transformation $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ whose restriction to $\mathcal{P}$ is a bijection $\varphi: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \Gamma$ and such that if aff denotes affine span $\Gamma$ then $\varphi$ restricted to $\mathbb{Z}^{k} \cap \operatorname{aff}(\mathcal{P})$ is a bijection $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}^{k} \cap \operatorname{aff}(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m} \cap \operatorname{aff}(\mathcal{Q})$. It follows that $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ have the same combinatorial type


Now let $\boldsymbol{i}$ denote an $i$-element chain $\Gamma$ and let $Q_{n}=\mathbf{2} \times \boldsymbol{n} \Gamma$ the product of a twoelement chain with an $n$-element chain. We regard the elements of $Q_{n}$ as $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{2 n}$ with $\alpha_{1}<\cdots<\alpha_{n} \Gamma \alpha_{n+1}<\cdots<\alpha_{2 n}$ Гand $\alpha_{i}<\alpha_{n+i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $t_{i}=\alpha_{n+i} \Gamma$ and let $C$ be the chain $t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n}$. As in the previous section let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \geq 0$ Гand set
$u_{i}=x_{1}+\cdots+x_{i}$. The polytope $\mathcal{C}_{C}\left(Q_{n}, \boldsymbol{u}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{Q_{n}-C} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n}$ thus by definition is given by the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq f_{1} \leq \cdots \leq f_{n} \\
f_{i} & \leq u_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $y_{i}=f_{i}-f_{i-1}$ (with $f_{0}=0$ ). Then the above equations become

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{i} \geq 0,1 \leq i \leq n \\
y_{1}+\cdots+y_{i} \leq x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

These are just the equations for $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$. The transformation $y_{i}=f_{i}-f_{i-1}$ induces an integral equivalence between $\mathcal{C}_{C}\left(Q_{n}, \boldsymbol{u}\right)$ and $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{u})$. Hence the results of the above section When specialized to $P=Q_{n}$ Гare directly applicable to $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$.

Theorem 4 expresses $\mathcal{C}_{C}(P, \boldsymbol{u})$ as a Minkowski linear combination of order polytopes $\mathcal{O}\left(P_{i}\right)$. In the present situation $\Gamma$ where $P=\mathbf{2} \times \boldsymbol{n} \Gamma$ the poset $P_{i}$ is just the chain $\alpha_{i}<\alpha_{i+1}<\cdots<\alpha_{n}$. The order polytope $\mathcal{O}\left(P_{i}\right)$ is defined by the conditions

$$
f_{1}=\cdots=f_{i-1}=0, \quad 0 \leq f_{i} \leq \cdots \leq f_{n} \leq 1 .
$$

This is just a simplex of dimension $n-i+1$ with vertices $\left(0^{j}, 1^{n-j}\right) \Gamma i-1 \leq j \leq n \Gamma$ where $\left(0^{j}, 1^{n-j}\right)$ denotes a vector of $j 0$ 's followed by $n-j 1$ 's. Switching to the $y$ coordinates (i.e. $\Gamma y_{i}=f_{i}-f_{i-1}$ ) yields the following result.

Theorem 9 Let $\tau_{i}$ be the $(n-i+1)$-dimensional simplex in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{1}=\cdots=y_{i-1}=0 \\
y_{i} \geq 0, \ldots, y_{n} \geq 0 \\
y_{i}+\cdots+y_{n} \leq 1,
\end{gathered}
$$

with vertices $\left(0^{i-1}, 1,0^{n-j}\right)$ for $i \leq j \leq n$, and $(0,0, \ldots, 0)$. Then

$$
\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})=x_{1} \tau_{1}+x_{2} \tau_{2}+\cdots+x_{n} \tau_{n} .
$$

Consider the set $\mathcal{L}\left(Q_{n}\right)$ of linear extensions of $Q_{n}$. A linear extension $\pi=a_{1} \ldots a_{2 n} \in$ $\mathcal{L}\left(Q_{n}\right)$ is uniquely determined by the positions of $n+1, \ldots, 2 n$ (since $1, \ldots, n$ must appear in increasing order). If $a_{j_{i}}=n+i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ Гthen $1 \leq j_{1}<\cdots<j_{n}=2 n$ and $j_{i} \geq 2 i$. The number of such sequences is just the Catalan number $C_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}$ (see e.g. [34Г Exercise $6.19(\mathrm{t})] \Gamma$ which is a minor variation). If we set $k_{i}=j_{i}-j_{i-1}$ (with $j_{0}=0$ ) $\Gamma$ then the sequences $\boldsymbol{k}=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$ are just those of equation (3). MoreoverTin the linear


Figure 4: The poset $Q_{3}=\mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{3}$
extension $a_{1} \cdots a_{2 n}$ there are no descents to the left of $n+1 \Gamma$ and there is exactly one descent between $n+i$ and $n+i+1$ provided that $k_{i+1}-k_{i} \geq 2$. (If $k_{i+1}-k_{i}=1$ then there are no descents between $n+i$ and $n+i+1$.) By Theorem 5 we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)=\sum_{k \in K_{n}}\left(\binom{x_{1}+1}{k_{1}}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{n}\left(\binom{x_{i}}{k_{i}}\right), \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{n}$ is given by (3). Taking terms of highest degree yields Theorem 1. Thus we have obtained an explicit decomposition of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ into products of simplices whose volumes are the terms in (2). (A completely different such decomposition will be given in Section 6.) MoreoverFTheorem 8 gives the combinatorial structure of the interior faces of this decomposition.

Note. Equation (33) was obtained independently by Ira Gessel (private communication) by a different method.

Let us illustrate the above discussion with the case $n=3$. The poset $Q_{3}$ is shown in Figure 4. The linear extensions of $Q_{3}$ are given as follows $\Gamma$ with the elements $4,5,6$ corresponding to the chain $C$ shown in boldface:

123456
124356
124536
142356
142536
Hence the points $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right) \in \Pi_{3}(\boldsymbol{x})$ are decomposed into the sets

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \leq y_{1} \leq y_{2} \leq y_{3} \leq x_{1} \\
& 0 \leq y_{1} \leq y_{2} \leq x_{1}<y_{3} \leq x_{1}+x_{2} \\
& 0 \leq y_{1} \leq y_{2} \leq x_{1} \leq x_{1}+x_{2}<y_{3} \leq x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}  \tag{34}\\
& 0 \leq y_{1} \leq x_{1}<y_{2} \leq y_{3} \leq x_{1}+x_{2} \\
& 0 \leq y_{1} \leq x_{1}<y_{2} \leq x_{1}+x_{2}<y_{3} \leq x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3},
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 5: The lattice $J\left(Q_{3}\right)$ of order ideals of $Q_{3}$
yielding

$$
\begin{aligned}
N\left(\Pi_{3}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) & =\left(\binom{x_{1}+1}{3}\right)+\left(\binom{x_{1}+1}{2}\right)\left(\binom{x_{2}}{1}\right)+\left(\binom{x_{1}+1}{2}\right)\left(\binom{x_{3}}{1}\right) \\
& +\left(\binom{x_{1}+1}{1}\right)\left(\binom{x_{2}}{2}\right)+\left(\binom{x_{1}+1}{1}\right)\left(\binom{x_{2}}{1}\right)\left(\binom{x_{3}}{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 8 allows us to describe the incidence relations among the faces of the decomposition of $\Pi_{3}(\boldsymbol{x})$ whose chambers are the closures of the five sets in equation (34). The lattice $J\left(Q_{3}\right)$ of order ideals of $Q_{3}$ has five maximal chains. This lattice is shown in Figure 5 Twith elements labeled $a, b, \ldots, j$. The elements $a, b, i, j$ appear in every Loewy chain of $J\left(Q_{3}\right)$ and can be ignored. The simplicial complex of chains of $J(P)$ (with $a, b, i, j$ removed) is shown in Figure 6(a). The Loewy chains correspond to the interior faces $\Gamma$ of which five have dimension $2 \Gamma$ five have dimension $1 \Gamma$ and one has dimension 0 . Figure 6 shows the "dual complex" of the interior faces. This gives the incidence relations among the five chambers of the decomposition of $\Pi_{3}(\boldsymbol{x})$ into five products of simplices obtained from $\Omega_{C}^{\circ}(P, \boldsymbol{u})$ by the change of coordinates $y_{i}=f_{i}-f_{i-1}$ discussed above. For a picture $\Gamma$ see the second subdivision of $\Pi_{3}(\boldsymbol{x})$ in Figure 2.

We mentioned earlier that in general the coefficients of the mixed lattice point enumerator $N\left(x_{1} \mathcal{P}_{1}+\cdots+x_{n} \mathcal{P}_{n}\right)$ may be negative. The polytope $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is an exception $\Gamma$ however $\Gamma$ and in fact satisfies a slightly stronger property.

Corollary 10 The polynomial $N\left(\Pi_{n}\left(x_{1}-1, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)$ has nonnegative coefficients.


Figure 6: The order complex of $J\left(Q_{3}\right)$ with $a, b, i, j$ omittedFand the interior face dual complex

Proof. Immediate from equation (33) Гsince the polynomial $\binom{t}{i}$ ) has nonnegative coefficients.

Note. One can also think of $\mathcal{C}_{C}\left(Q_{n}, \boldsymbol{u}\right)$ as the "polytope of fractional shapes contained in the shape $\left(u_{n}, u_{n-1}, \ldots, u_{1}\right)$." In generalClet $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ be a partition $\Gamma$ i.e. $\Gamma \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n} \Gamma$ which we also call a shape. We say that a shape $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right)$ is contained in $\lambda$ if $\mu_{i} \leq \lambda_{i}$ for all $i$. (This partial ordering on shapes defines Young's lattice [32 ГExer. 3.63]. Additional properties of Young's lattice may be found in various places in [34].) If we relax the conditions that the $\lambda_{i}$ 's are integers but only require them to be real (with $\lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n} \geq 0$ ) $\Gamma$ then we can think of $\lambda$ as a "fractional shape." Thus $\mathcal{C}_{C}\left(Q_{n}, \boldsymbol{u}\right)$ just consists of the fractional shapes contained in the shape $\left(u_{n}, u_{n-1}, \ldots, u_{1}\right)$.

## 5 Connections with parking functions and plane partitions.

There are two additional interpretations of the volume and lattice point enumerator of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ that we wish to discuss. The first concerns the subject of parking functions $\Gamma$ originally defined by Konheim and Weiss [9]. A parking function of length $n$ may be defined as a sequence $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ of positive integers whose increasing rearrangement $b_{1} \leq \cdots \leq b_{n}$ satisfies $b_{i} \leq i$. For the reason for the terminology "parking functionT" as well as additional results and references $\Gamma$ see [34Г Exercise 5.49]. A basic result of Konheim and Weiss is that the number of parking functions of length $n$ is $(n+1)^{n-1}$.

Write park $(n)$ for the set of all parking functions of length $n$. For $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{N}^{n}$ define an $\boldsymbol{x}$-parking function to be a sequence $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ of positive integers whose
decreasing rearrangement $b_{1} \leq \cdots \leq b_{n}$ satisfies $b_{i} \leq x_{1}+\cdots+x_{i}$. Thus an ordinary parking function corresponds to the case $\boldsymbol{x}=(1,1, \ldots, 1)$. Let $P_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ denote the number of $\boldsymbol{x}$-parking functions. Note that $P_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})=0$ if $x_{1}=0$.

## Theorem 11

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \operatorname{park}(n)} x_{a_{1}} \cdots x_{a_{n}}=n!V_{n}(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Given $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \operatorname{park}(n) \Gamma$ replace each $i$ by an integer in the set $\left\{x_{1}+\right.$ $\left.\cdots+x_{i-1}+1, \ldots, x_{1}+\cdots+x_{i}\right\}$. The number of ways to do this is given by the middle expression in (35) $\Gamma$ and every $\boldsymbol{x}$-parking function is obtained exactly once in this way. This yields the first equality. The second equality follows from the expansion (2) of $V_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ Гsince a parking function is obtained by choosing $\boldsymbol{k} \in K_{n}$ Гforming a sequence with $k_{i} i$ 's $\Gamma$ and permuting its elements in $\binom{n}{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}}$ ways.

Take $x_{i}=1$ for all $i$ in (35) and apply (7) for $a=b=1$ to recover the result of [9] that the number of parking functions of length $n$ is $(n+1)^{n-1}$. We note that formula (7) can be given a simple combinatorial proof generalizing the proof of Pollak [5Гp. 13] for the case of ordinary parking functions; see [33Гp. 10] for the case $a=b$. We note that Theorem 11 also gives enumerative interpretations of formulae (8) and (9). Presumably these formulae too could be derived combinatorially in the setting of parking functions $\Gamma$ but we will not attempt that here.

An interesting special case of Theorem 11 arises when we take $x_{i}=q^{i-1}$ for some $q>0$. In this case we have

$$
n!V_{n}\left(1, q, q^{2}, \ldots, q^{n-1}\right)=\sum_{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \operatorname{park}(n)} q^{a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}-n}
$$

It follows from a result of Kreweras [11] (see also [34ГExer. 5.49(c)]) that also

$$
n!V_{n}\left(1, q, q^{2}, \ldots, q^{n-1}\right)=q^{\binom{n}{2}} I_{n}(1 / q)
$$

where $I_{n}(q)$ is the inversion enumerator of labeled trees.
We can generalize equation (7) by giving a simple product formula for the Ehrhart polynomial $i\left(\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x}), r\right)$ of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ in the case $\boldsymbol{x}=(a, b, b, \ldots, b)$ (see Theorem 13). First we need to discuss another way to interpret $N\left(\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)$.

Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell}\right)$ be a partitionTso $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{\ell} \geq 0$. A plane partition of shape $\lambda$ and largest part at most $m$ is an array $\pi=\left(\pi_{i j}\right)$ of integers $1 \leq \pi_{i j} \leq m \Gamma$
defined for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ and $1 \leq j \leq \lambda_{i}$ Twhich is weakly decreasing in rows and columns. For instance The plane partitions of shape $(2,1)$ and largest part at most 2 are given by

| 11 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 22 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |,

where we only display the positive parts $\pi_{i j}>0$. Basic information on plane partitions may be found in [34Г§§7.20-7.22]. If $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ then set

$$
\boldsymbol{u}=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{1}+x_{2}, \cdots, x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}\right)
$$

and write $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}=\left(u_{n}, \ldots, u_{1}\right)$ Гso that $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}$ is a partition.
Theorem 12 Let $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$. Then $N\left(\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)$ is equal to the number of plane partitions of shape $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}$ and largest part at most 2 .

Proof. If $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in \Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n} \Gamma$ then define the plane partition $\pi$ of shape $\boldsymbol{u}$ to have $y_{1}+\cdots+y_{i}$ 's in row $n+1-i$ and the remaining entries equal to 1 . This sets up a bijection between the integer points in $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and the plane partitions of shape $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}$ and largest part at most 2.

Note. Because of the connection given by Theorem 12 between integer points in $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and plane partitions $\Gamma$ a number of results concerning $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ appear already (sometimes implicitly) in the plane partition literature. In particular $\Gamma$ consider the determinantal formula (6) of Steck. Let $j_{i}^{\prime}=j_{i}-i \Gamma b_{i}^{\prime}=b_{i}-i+1 \Gamma$ and $c_{i}^{\prime}=c_{i}-i-1$. We are then counting sequences $j_{1}^{\prime} \leq j_{2}^{\prime} \leq \cdots \leq j_{n}^{\prime}$ satisfying $b_{i}^{\prime} \leq j_{i}^{\prime} \leq c_{i}^{\prime}$. If $b_{i}^{\prime}>b_{i+1}^{\prime}$ then we can replace $b_{i+1}^{\prime}$ by $b_{i}^{\prime}$ without affecting the sequences $j_{1}^{\prime} \leq \cdots \leq j_{n}^{\prime}$ being counted. Similarly if $c_{i}^{\prime}>c_{i+1}^{\prime}$ we can replace $c_{i}^{\prime}$ with $c_{i+1}^{\prime}$. MoreoverTclearly the number of sequences being counted is not changed by adding a fixed integer $k$ to each $b_{i}^{\prime}$ and $c_{i}^{\prime}$. Hence it costs nothing to assume that $0 \leq b_{1}^{\prime} \leq \cdots \leq b_{n}^{\prime}$ and $0 \leq c_{1}^{\prime} \leq \cdots \leq c_{n}^{\prime}$ (with $b_{i}^{\prime} \leq c_{i}^{\prime}$ ). Let $\lambda=\left(c_{n}^{\prime}, \ldots, c_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mu=\left(b_{n}^{\prime}, \ldots, b_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are partitions $\Gamma$ and $\mu \subseteq \lambda$ in the sense of containment of diagrams (see [34Г $\S 7.2]$ ). Let $Y$ denote the poset (actually a distributive lattice) of all partitions of all nonnegative integers Fordered by diagram containment. The lattice $Y$ is just Young's lattice mentioned above. In terms of Young's lattice we see that that the number $\#(b, c)$ of equation (6) is just the number of elements $\left(j_{n}^{\prime}, \ldots, j_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ in the interval $[\mu, \lambda]$ of $Y$. Alternatively $\Gamma \#(b, c)$ is the number of multichains $\mu=\lambda^{0} \leq \lambda^{1} \leq \lambda^{2}=\lambda$ of length two in the interval $[\mu, \lambda]$ of $Y$. Kreweras [10Г§2.3.7] gives a determinantal formula for the number of multichains of any fixed length $k$ in the interval [ $\mu, \lambda]$. (See also [32ГExer. 3.63].) Such a multichain is
easily seen to be equivalent to a plane partition of shape $\lambda / \mu$ with largest part at most $k$. When specialized to $k=2$ TKreweras' formula becomes precisely our equation (25). Moreover $\Gamma$ the special case $\mu=\emptyset$ of Kreweras' formula was already known to MacMahon (put $x=1$ in the implied formula for $G F\left(p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{m} ; n\right)$ in [14Гр. 243]). By Theorem 12 the number of elements of the interval $[\emptyset, \lambda]$ is just $N\left(\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)$ Twhere $\lambda$ is the partition $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}$ of Theorem 12. Hence in some sense MacMahon already knew a determinantal formula for $N\left(\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)$ and thus also (by taking leading coefficients of $N\left(\Pi_{n}(r \boldsymbol{x})\right)$ regarded as a polynomial in $r$ ) for the volume $V_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$.

Theorem 13 Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\boldsymbol{x}=(a, b, b, \ldots, b) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$. Then the Ehrhart polynomial $i\left(\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x}), r\right)=\frac{1}{n!}(r a+1)(r(a+n b)+2)(r(a+n b)+3) \cdots(r(a+n b)+n) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, the number $N\left(\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)$ of integer points in $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ satisfies

$$
N\left(\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)=\frac{1}{n!}(a+1)(a+n b+2)(a+n b+3) \cdots(a+n b+n) .
$$

First proof. The theorem is simply a restatement of a standard result in the subject of ballot problems and lattice path enumeration Tgoing back at least to Lyness [13] Гand with many proofs. A good discussion appears in $[19 \Gamma \S \S 1.4-1.6]$. See also $[20 \Gamma \S 1.3 \Gamma$ Lemma 3B].

Second proof. We give a proof different from the proofs alluded to above it has the virtue of generalizing to give Theorem 14 below. The polytope $r \Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is just $\Pi_{n}(r \boldsymbol{x})$. Hence by Theorem $12 i\left(\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x}), r\right)$ is just the number of plane partitions of shape $r \boldsymbol{u}$ and largest part at most 2. Identify the partition $\boldsymbol{u}$ with its diagram $\Gamma$ consisting of all pairs $(i, j)$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq \tilde{u}_{i}=a+(n-i) b$. Define the content $c(s)$ of $s=(i, j) \in \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}$ by $c(s)=j-i$ (see [34Гp. 373]). An explicit formula for the number of plane partitions of shape $\boldsymbol{u}$ and any bound on the largest part was first obtained by Proctor and is discussed in [34ГExer. 7.101] (as well as a generalization due to Krattenthaler). Proctor's formula for the case at hand gives

$$
i\left(\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x}), r\right)=\prod_{\substack{s=(i, j) \in r \bar{u} \\ n+c(s) \leq r \tilde{u}_{i}}} \frac{1+n+c(s)}{n+c(s)} \prod_{\substack{s=(i, j) \in r \bar{u} \\ n+c(s)>r \bar{u}_{i}}} \frac{r b+1+n+c(s)}{n+c(s)} .
$$

When all the factors of the above products are written out $\Gamma$ there is considerable cancellation. The only denominator factors that survive are those indexed by $(i, 1) \Gamma 1 \leq i \leq n \Gamma$
yielding the denominator $n!$. The surviving numerator factors are $r a+1$ (indexed by $(n, r a))$ and $r(a+n b)+k \Gamma 2 \leq k \leq n($ indexed by $(1, r(a+(n-1) b)-n+k)) \Gamma$ the last $n-1$ squares in the first row of $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}})$.

Note from (36) that the leading coefficient of $i\left(\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x}), r\right)$ (and hence the volume $V_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ of $\left.\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)$ is given by $a(a+n b)^{n-1}$ Гagreeing with equation (7).

There is a straightforward generalization of Theorems 12 and 13 involving plane partitions of shape $\boldsymbol{u}$ with largest part at most $m+1$ (instead of just $m+1=2$ ). Given $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ as before $\Gamma$ let $\Pi_{n}^{m}(\boldsymbol{x}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n m}$ be the polytope of all $n \times m$ matrices $\left(y_{i j}\right)$ satisfying $y_{i j} \geq 0$ and

$$
v_{i 1} \leq v_{i 2} \leq \cdots \leq v_{i m} \leq x_{1}+\cdots+x_{i}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$ Twhere

$$
v_{i j}=y_{i 1}+y_{i 2}+\cdots+y_{i j} .
$$

Thus $\Pi_{n}^{1}(\boldsymbol{x})=\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$. Then the proof of Theorem 12 carries over mutatis mutandis to show that $N\left(\Pi_{n}^{m}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)$ is the number of plane partitions of shape $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}$ and largest part at most $m+1$. The result of Proctor mentioned above gives an explicit formula for this number when $\boldsymbol{x}=(a, b, b, \ldots, b)$. Replacing $\boldsymbol{x}$ by $r \boldsymbol{x}$ and computing the leading coefficient of the resulting polynomial in $r$ gives a formula for the volume $V_{n}^{m}(\boldsymbol{x})$ of $\Pi_{n}^{m}(\boldsymbol{x})$. This computation is similar to that in the proof of Theorem 13Г though the details are more complicated. We merely state the result here without proof. Is there a direct combinatorial proof similar to the proofs of Theorem 13 (the case $m=1$ of Theorem 14) appearing in [19] and [20]?

Theorem 14 Let $\boldsymbol{x}=(a, b, b, \ldots, b) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$. Then

$$
(n m)!V_{n}^{m}(\boldsymbol{x})=1!2!\cdots m!f^{\left\langle m^{n}\right\rangle}(n+m)^{n-1}(n+m-1)^{n-2} \cdots(n+1)^{n-m}
$$

where $f^{\left\langle m^{n}\right\rangle}$ denotes the number of standard Young tableaux of shape $\left\langle m^{n}\right\rangle=(m, m, \ldots, m)$ ( $n \mathrm{~m}$ 's in all), given explicitly by the "hook-length formula" [34, Cor. 7.21.6].

There is a further generalization of the polytope $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ which deserves mention. Let $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n}$ and $\boldsymbol{z}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n}$ Гwith $v_{i}=z_{1}+\cdots+z_{i} \leq x_{1}+\cdots+x_{i}=$ $u_{i}$. Let $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x})$ be the polytope of all points $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{i} \geq 0, \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n \\
v_{i} \leq y_{1}+\cdots+y_{i} \leq u_{i} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})=\Pi_{n}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{x})$. Much of the theory of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ extends to $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x})$. Rather than enter into the details here C we simply illustrate with the case $n=2$ how the polyhedral decomposition of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ with chambers $\Lambda_{\pi}$ extends to $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x})$. In general $\Gamma$ the chambers $\Lambda_{\pi}$ of a decomposition of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x})$ into a product of simplices will be obtained from linear extensions $\pi=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{3 n}$ of $\mathbf{3} \times \boldsymbol{n}$. Let the elements of $\mathbf{3} \times \boldsymbol{n}$ be $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{3 n}$ with $\alpha_{1}<\cdots<\alpha_{n} \Gamma \alpha_{n+1}<\cdots<\alpha_{2 n} \Gamma \alpha_{2 n+1}<\cdots<\alpha_{3 n} \Gamma$ and $\alpha_{i}<\alpha_{n+i}<\alpha_{2 n+i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $\pi$ corresponds to the chamber

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq f\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \leq \cdots \leq f\left(\alpha_{3 n}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
f\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
v_{i}, & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq n \\
y_{1}+\cdots+y_{i-n}, & \text { if } n+1 \leq i \leq 2 n \\
u_{i-2 n}, & \text { if } 2 n+1 \leq i \leq 3 n
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

There is one important difference between this decomposition and the analogous one for $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ Гnamely「in the present case some of the chambers $\Lambda_{\pi}$ will actually be empty and should be ignored. (Of course $\Lambda_{\pi}$ isn't really a chamber if it's empty.) The question of which are empty will depend on the relative order of the numbers $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ and $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$. In the "generic" case when each $x_{i}>0$ and $z_{i}>0$ there are $C_{n}$ (a Catalan number) relative orderings of the $u_{i}$ 's and $v_{i}$ 's (since $u_{1}<\cdots<u_{n} \Gamma v_{1}<\cdots<v_{n} \Gamma$ and $v_{i} \leq u_{i}$ ). More generally . we can change some of the $\leq$ signs in equation (37) to $<$ signs $\Gamma$ in accordance with the descents of the corresponding linear extension $\pi \Gamma$ so that we obtain a decomposition of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x})$ into pairwise disjoint cells from which we can compute the lattice point enumerator $N\left(\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x})\right)$.

Let us illustrate the above discussion in the case $n=2$. The linear extensions of $3 \times 2$ Гusing the labeling just describedГare given by

123456
123546
132456 .
132546
135246
Thus the following sets (possibly empty) give a decomposition of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x})$ into pairwise disjoint cells:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leq v_{1} \leq v_{2} \leq y_{1} \leq y_{1}+y_{2} \leq u_{1} \leq u_{2} \\
& 0 \leq v_{1} \leq v_{2} \leq y_{1} \leq u_{1}<y_{1}+y_{2} \leq u_{2} \\
& 0 \leq v_{1} \leq y_{1}<v_{2} \leq y_{1}+y_{2} \leq u_{1} \leq u_{2} \\
& 0 \leq v_{1} \leq y_{1}<v_{2} \leq u_{1}<y_{1}+y_{2} \leq u_{2} \\
& 0 \leq v_{1} \leq y_{1} \leq u_{1}<v_{2} \leq y_{1}+y_{2} \leq u_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first four cells are nonempty provided $v_{2} \leq u_{1} \Gamma$ while the last cell is nonempty provided $v_{2}>u_{1}$. Hence we read off that

$$
N\left(\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x})\right)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
A, & x_{1} \geq z_{1}+z_{2} \\
\left.\left(\binom{x_{1}-z_{1}+1}{1}\right)\binom{x_{1}+x_{2}-z_{1}-z_{2}+1}{1}\right), & x_{1}<z_{1}+z_{2},
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A= & \left(\binom{x_{1}-z_{1}-z_{2}+1}{2}\right)+\left(\binom{x_{1}-z_{1}-z_{2}+1}{1}\right)\left(\binom{x_{2}}{1}\right) \\
& +\left(\binom{z_{2}}{1}\right)\left(\binom{x_{1}-z_{1}-z_{2}+1}{1}\right)+\left(\binom{z_{2}}{1}\right)\left(\binom{x_{2}}{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 6 A subdivision of $\Pi_{n}(x)$ connected with the associahedron

In this section we describe a polyhedral subdivison $\left(\hat{\Pi}_{n}(\boldsymbol{k} ; \boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{k} \in K_{n}\right)$ of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ different from the subdivision discussed in Section 3. This subdivision is closely related to a convex polytope known as the associahedron $\Gamma$ defined as follows. Let $E_{n+2}$ be a convex $(n+2)$-gon. A polygonal decomposition of $E_{n+2}$ consists of a set of diagonals of $E_{n+2}$ that do not cross in their interiors. Hence the maximal polygonal decompositions are the triangulations $\Gamma$ and contain exactly $n-1$ diagonals. Let $\operatorname{dec}\left(E_{n+2}\right)$ denote the poset of all polygonal decompositions of $E_{n+2} \Gamma$ ordered by inclusion $\Gamma$ with a top element $\hat{1}$ adjoined. It was first shown by C. W. Lee [12] and M. Haiman [7] that $\operatorname{dec}\left(E_{n+2}\right)$ is the face lattice of an $(n-1)$-dimensional convex polytope $\mathcal{A}_{n} \Gamma$ known as the associahedron or Stasheff polytope. (Earlier Stasheff [35] defined the dual of the associahedron as a simplicial complex and constructed a geometric realization as a convex body but not as a polytope.) A vast generalization is discussed in [6ГCh. 7]. For some further information see [34ГExer. 6.33].

We next give a somewhat different description of the associahedron (or more precisely of its face lattice) that is most convenient for our purposes. A fan in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ is a (finite) collection $\boldsymbol{F}$ of pointed polyhedral cones (with vertices at the origin) satisfying the two conditions:

- If $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime} \in \boldsymbol{F}$ then $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ is a face (possibly consisting of just the origin) of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$.
- If $\mathcal{C} \in \boldsymbol{F}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ is a face of $\mathcal{C} \Gamma$ then $\mathcal{C}^{\prime} \in \boldsymbol{F}$.

A fan $\boldsymbol{F}$ is called complete if $\bigcup_{\mathcal{C} \in \boldsymbol{F}}=\mathbb{R}^{m}$.

We will define a fan whose chambers are indexed by plane binary trees with $n$ internal vertices. The definition of a plane tree may be found for instance in [32 ГAppendix]. The key point is that the subtrees of any vertex are linearly ordered $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{k} \Gamma$ indicated in drawing the tree (with the root on the bottom) by placing the subtrees in the order $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{k}$ from left to right. A binary plane tree is a plane tree for which each vertex $v$ has zero or two subtrees. In the latter case we call the vertex an internal vertex. Otherwise $v$ is a leaf or endpoint. We will always regard plane trees as being drawn with the root at the bottom.

Let $T$ be a plane binary tree with $n$ internal vertices (so $n+1$ leaves). The number of such trees is the Catalan number $C_{n}[34 \Gamma 6.19(\mathrm{~d})]$. Do a depth-first search through $T$ (as defined e.g. in [34Гpp. 33-34]) and label the internal vertices $1,2, \ldots, n$ in the order they are first encountered from above. EquivalentlyTevery internal vertex is greater than those in its left subtreeГand smaller than those in its right subtree. We call this labeling of the internal vertices of $T$ the binary search labeling. Figure 7 gives an example when $n=4$. Let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}$ denote the coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. If the internal vertex $i$ of $T$ (using the labeling just defined) is covered by $j$ and $i<j \Gamma$ then associate with the pair $(i, j)$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i+1}+y_{i+2}+\cdots+y_{j} \leq 0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

while if $i>j$ then associate with $(i, j)$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{j+1}+y_{j+2}+\cdots+y_{i} \geq 0 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

We get a system of $n-1$ homogeneous linear inequalities that define a simplicial cone $\mathcal{C}_{T}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. For exampleГthe inequalities corresponding to the tree of Figure 7 are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{2} & \leq 0 \\
y_{2}+y_{3} & \geq 0 \\
y_{4} & \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

It is not hard to check that these $C_{n}$ cones $\Gamma$ as $T$ ranges over all plane binary trees with $n$ internal vertices $\Gamma$ form the chambers of a complete fan $\boldsymbol{F}_{n}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. For instance $\Gamma$ Figure 8 shows the fan $\boldsymbol{F}_{3}$.

Theorem 15 The face poset $P\left(\boldsymbol{F}_{n}\right)$ of the fan $\boldsymbol{F}_{n}$, with a top element $\hat{1}$ adjoined, is isomorphic to the dual $\operatorname{dec}\left(E_{n+2}\right)^{*}$ of the face lattice of the associahedron $\mathcal{A}_{n+2}$.

Proof. The face lattice of a complete fan is completely determined by the incidences between the chambers and extreme rays. (See [32 ГExer. 3.12] for a stronger statement.)


Figure 7: A plane tree with the binary search labeling of its internal vertices

The chambers of $\boldsymbol{F}_{n}$ have already been described in terms of plane binary trees. There is a well-known bijection between plane binary trees on $2 n+1$ vertices and triangulations of a convex $(n+2)$-gon $E_{n+2}$. This bijection is explained for instance in [34ГCor. 6.2.3]. In particularГto define the bijection we first need to fix an edge $\varepsilon$ of $E_{n+2}$ Гcalled the root edge. We hope that Figure 9 will make this bijection clear; see the previous reference for further details. Thus we have a bijection between the chambers $\mathcal{C}$ of $\boldsymbol{F}_{n}$ and the triangulations of the convex $(n+2)$-gon $E_{n+2}$.

We now describe the extreme rays $R$ of $\boldsymbol{F}_{n}$. We can describe $R$ uniquely by specifying one nonzero point on $R$. We will index these points by the diagonals $D$ of a convex $(n+2)$-gon $E_{n+2}$. Label the vertices of $E_{n+2}$ as $0,1, \ldots, n+1$ clockwise beginning with one vertex of $\varepsilon$ and ending with the other. Let $e_{i}$ denote the unit coordinate vector corresponding to the coordinate $y_{i}$ in the space $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with coordinates $y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}$. Given the diagonal $D$ between vertices $i<j$ of $E_{n+2}$ Fassociate a point $p_{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ as follows:

$$
p_{D}=\left\{\begin{aligned}
e_{j}, & \text { if } i=0 \\
-e_{i+1}, & \text { if } j=n+1 \\
e_{j}-e_{i+1}, & \text { otherwise. }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

We claim that the ray $\left\{\alpha p_{D}: \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}\right\}$ is the extreme ray of $\boldsymbol{F}_{n}$ that is the intersection of all the chambers of $\boldsymbol{F}_{n}$ corresponding to the triangulations of $E_{n+2}$ that contain $D$. From this claim the proof of the theorem follows (using the fact that $\boldsymbol{F}_{n}$ is a simplicial fanTi.e. Гevery face is a simplicial cone).

Consider first the diagonal $D$ with vertices 0 and $j$. Let $\Upsilon$ be a triangulation of $E_{n+2}$ containing $D$. The internal vertices of $T$ corresponding to the regions (triangles) of the triangulation $\Upsilon$. Because of our procedure for labeling the internal vertices of a plane binary tree $T$ Гit follows that the labels of the internal vertices "above" $D$ (i.e. $\Gamma$ on the opposite side of $D$ as the root edge $\varepsilon$ ) will be $1,2, \ldots, j-1 \Gamma$ while the internal vertices below $D$ will be labeled $j, j+1, \ldots, n$. (See Figure 9 for an example with $n=8$. The


Figure 8: The fan $\boldsymbol{F}_{3}$


Figure 9: A triangulated 10 -gon and the corresponding plane binary tree $T$
diagonal $D$ in question is labeled $D_{1}$ and connects vertex 0 to vertex $j=6$. The plane binary tree $T$ is drawn with dashed lines.) Consider the internal edges of $T$ that give rise (via equations (38) and (39)) to chambers whose equations involve $y_{j}$. No such edge can appear below $D \Gamma$ since $j$ is the least vertex label appearing below $D$. Similarly no such edge can appear above $D$ Гsince only vertices less than $j$ appear above $D$. Hence such an edge must cross $D$. The top (farthest from the root) vertex $a$ of this edge is $<j$ Twhile the bottom vertex $b$ is $\geq j$. Hence the chamber equation is given by $y_{a+1}+y_{a+2}+\cdots+y_{b} \geq 0 \Gamma$ where $a<j$ and $b \geq j$. Hence the point $e_{j}$ lies on this chamber $\Gamma$ and so the ray through $e_{j}$ is the intersection of the chambers corresponding to triangulations containing $D$.

A completely analogous argument holds for the diagonal $D$ with vertices $i$ and $n+1$. Finally suppose that $D$ has vertices $i, j$ where $0<i<j<n+1$. The internal vertices
of $T$ appearing above $D$ will be labeled $i+1, i+2, \ldots, j-1 \Gamma$ while the remaining vertex labels appear below $D$. (See Figure $9 \Gamma$ where the diagonal $D$ in question is labeled $D_{2} \Gamma$ and where $i=2$ and $j=6$.) Consider an internal edge of $T$ whose vertex labels are $a$ and $b$ where $a \leq i$ and $i+1 \leq b<j$. These are precisely the edges whose corresponding chamber equation (either $y_{a+1}+y_{a+2}+\cdots+y_{b} \geq 0$ or $y_{a+1}+y_{a+2}+\cdots+y_{b} \leq 0$ ) involves $y_{i+1}$ but not $y_{j}$. Since $b$ appears above $D$ and $a$ below $\Gamma$ the chamber equation is in fact $y_{a+1}+y_{a+2}+\cdots+y_{b} \leq 0$. In particular the point $e_{j}-e_{i+1}$ lies on the chamber. SimilarlyFconsider an internal edge of $T$ whose labels are $a$ and $b$ where $i+1 \leq a<j$ and $j \leq b$. These are precisely the edges whose corresponding chamber equation (again either $y_{a+1}+y_{a+2}+\cdots+y_{b} \geq 0$ or $y_{a+1}+y_{a+2}+\cdots+y_{b} \leq 0$ ) involves $y_{j}$ but not $y_{i+1}$. Since $b$ appears below $D$ and $a$ above $\Gamma$ the chamber equation is in fact $y_{a+1}+y_{a+2}+\cdots+y_{b} \geq 0$. In particular $\Gamma$ the point $e_{j}-e_{i+1}$ lies on the chamber. Every other chamber equation either involves neither $y_{i+1}$ nor $y_{j}$ Гor else involves both (with a coefficient 1). Hence $e_{i+1}-e_{j}$ lies on every chamber corresponding to a triangulation containing $D \Gamma$ so the intersection of these chambers is the ray containing $e_{j}-e_{i+1}$. This completes the proof of the claim Cand with it the theorem.

The connection between $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and the fan $\boldsymbol{F}_{n}$ is provided by the concept of a plane tree with edge lengths. If we associate with each edge $e$ of the plane tree $T$ a positive real number $\ell(e) \Gamma$ then we call the pair $(T, \ell)$ a plane tree with edge lengths. Such a tree can be drawn by letting the length of each edge $e$ be $\ell(e)$.

Now fix a real number $s>0$ ए which will be the sum of the edge lengths of a plane tree. Let $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ with $\sum x_{i}<s$. Let $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ with $y_{1}+\cdots+y_{i} \leq x_{1}+\cdots+x_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We associate with the pair ( $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ ) a plane tree with edge lengths $\varphi(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=(\bar{T}, \ell)$ as follows. Start at the root and traverse the tree in preorder (or depth-first order) [34Гpp. 33-34]. First go up a distance $x_{1}$ Гthen down a distance $y_{1} \Gamma$ then up a distance $x_{2} \Gamma$ then down a distance $y_{2} \Gamma$ etc. After going down a distance $y_{n} \Gamma$ complete the tree by going up a distance $x_{n+1}=s-x_{1}-\cdots-x_{n}$ and then down a distance $y_{n+1}=s-y_{1}-\cdots-y_{n}$. Generically we obtain a planted plane binary tree with edge lengths $\mathrm{Ci} . \mathrm{e}$ Гthe root has degree one (or one child) Cand all other internal vertices have degree two. Figure 10 shows the planted plane binary tree with edge lengths associated with $s=16$ and $\boldsymbol{x}=(6,2,7) \Gamma \boldsymbol{y}=(1,4,3)$. If $\bar{T}$ is a planted plane tree $\Gamma$ then we let $T$ denote the tree obtained by "unplanting" (uprooting?) $\bar{T}$ Гi.e. $\Gamma$ remove from $\bar{T}$ the root and its unique incident edge $e$ (letting the other vertex of $e$ become the root of $T$ ).

Fix the sequence $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ with $\sum x_{i}<s$. For a plane binary tree $T$ (without edge lengths) with $n$ internal vertices (and hence $n+1$ leaves) Define $\Delta_{T}=\Delta_{T}(\boldsymbol{x})$ to


Figure 10: A planted plane binary tree with edge lengths
be the set of all $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ such that $\varphi(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=(\bar{T}, \ell)$ for some $\ell$. Let $\mathcal{T}_{n}$ denote the set of plane binary trees with $n$ internal vertices. Let $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ with the binary search labeling of its internal vertices as defined earlier in this section. We now define a sequence $\boldsymbol{k}(T)=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ as follows: (1) $k_{i}=0$ if the left child of vertex $i$ is an internal vertex. (2) If the left child of vertex $i$ is an endpoint $\Gamma$ then let $k_{i}$ be the largest integer $r$ for which there is a chain $i=j_{1}<j_{2}<\cdots<j_{r}$ of internal vertices such that $j_{h}$ is a left child of $j_{h+1}$ for $1 \leq h \leq r-1$. For instance Tif $T$ is the tree of Figure 11 then $\boldsymbol{k}(T)=(2,3,0,1,0,1,0,2,0)$.

Lemma 16 The map $T \mapsto \boldsymbol{k}(T)$ is a bijection from $\mathcal{T}_{n}$ to the set $K_{n}$ defined by equation (3).

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{k}(T)=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$. The chains $i=j_{1}<j_{2}<\cdots<j_{r}$ described above partition the internal vertices of $T$ 的o $\sum k_{i}=n$. Since $k_{j_{2}}=\cdots=k_{j_{r}}=0$ Гit follows that $k_{h+1}+k_{h+2}+\cdots+k_{n} \leq n-h$ for $0 \leq h \leq n-1$. Hence $k_{1}+\cdots+k_{h} \geq h \Gamma$ so $\boldsymbol{k}(T) \in K_{n}$.

It remains to show that given $\boldsymbol{k}=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right) \in K_{n}$ There is a unique $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ such that $\boldsymbol{k}(T)=\boldsymbol{k}$. We can construct the subtree of internal vertices of $T$ as follows. Let $T_{1}$ be defined by starting at the root and making $k_{1}-1$ steps to the left. (Each step is from a vertex to an adjacent vertex.) Hence we have $k_{1}$ vertices in allएand we are located at the vertex furthest from the root. Suppose that $T_{i}$ has been constructed for $i<n$ Гand


Figure 11: A plane binary tree $T$ with $\boldsymbol{k}(T)=(2,3,0,1,0,1,0,2,0)$
that we are located at vertex $v_{i}$. If $k_{i+1}>0 \Gamma$ then move one step to the right and $k_{i+1}-1$ steps to the left $\Gamma$ yielding the tree $T_{i+1}$ and the vertex $v_{i+1}$ at which we are located. If $k_{i+1}=0$ Гthen move down the tree (toward the root) until we have traversed exactly one edge in a southeast direction. This gives the tree $T_{i+1}=T_{i}$ and a new present location $v_{i+1}$. Let $T=T_{n}$. It is easily checked that the definition of $K_{n}$ ensures that $T$ is defined (and $\Gamma$ though not really needed here $\Gamma$ that $v_{n}$ is the root vertex) and $\boldsymbol{k}(T)=\boldsymbol{k}$. Since there are $C_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}$ plane binary trees with $n$ internal vertices and since $\# K_{n}=C_{n} \Gamma$ it follows that the map $T \mapsto \boldsymbol{k}(T)$ is a bijection as claimed. (It is also easy to see directly that $T$ is uniqueГi.e. $i$ if $\boldsymbol{k}(T)=\boldsymbol{k}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ then $T=T^{\prime}$.)

Now given $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \Gamma$ let $\sigma_{k}(t)$ denote the $k$-dimensional simplex of points $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)$ satisfying $0 \leq t_{1} \leq t_{2} \leq \cdots \leq t_{k} \leq t$. Thus

$$
\operatorname{Vol}\left(\sigma_{k}(t)\right)=\frac{t^{k}}{k!}
$$

By convention $\sigma_{0}(t)$ is just a point $\Gamma$ with $\operatorname{Vol}\left(\sigma_{0}(t)\right)=1$. We can now state the main result of this section.

Theorem 17 (a) The sets $\Delta_{T}(\boldsymbol{x})$, for $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$, form the maximal faces (chambers) of $a$ polyhedral decomposition $\Gamma_{n}$ of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$.
(b) Let $\boldsymbol{k}(T)=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$, where $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$. Then $\Delta_{T}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is integrally equivalent (as defined at the beginning of Section 4) to the product $\sigma_{k_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times \sigma_{k_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)$, so in particular

$$
\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Delta_{T}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)=\frac{x_{1}^{k_{1}}}{k_{1}!} \cdots \frac{x_{n}^{k_{n}}}{k_{n}!}
$$

(c) The interior face complex $\Gamma_{n}^{\circ}$ of $\Gamma_{n}$ is combinatorially equivalent to the dual associahedron, i.e., the set of interior faces of $\Gamma_{n}$, ordered by inclusion, in isomorphic to the face lattice of the dual associahedron.

Proof of (a). The construction of the plane tree with edge lengths $\varphi(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=(\bar{T}, \ell)$ is defined if and only if $\boldsymbol{y} \in \Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$. Since generically $\varphi(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$ is a planted plane binary tree $\Gamma$ it follows that the sets $\Delta_{T}(\boldsymbol{x}) \Gamma T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ Гform the chambers of a polyhedral decomposition of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$.

Proof of (b). Let $\varphi(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=(\bar{T}, \ell)$ as above. Call a vertex $v$ of $\bar{T}$ a left leaf if it is a leaf (endpoint) and is the left child of its parent. Similarly a right edge is an edge that slants to the right as we move away from the root. Let $P(v)$ be the path from the left leaf $v$ toward the root that terminates after the first right edge is traversed (or terminates at the root if there is no such right edge). Let $c(v)$ be the label of the (internal) vertex covered by $v$. Then the length of the path $P(v)$ is just $x_{c(v)}$. If $c(v)=i \Gamma$ then exactly $k_{i}$ of the paths $P(u)$ end at the path $P(v)$. Suppose that these paths are $P\left(u_{1}\right), \ldots, P\left(u_{k_{i}}\right)$ where $u_{1}<\cdots<u_{k_{i}}$. Then the paths $P\left(u_{j}\right)$ intersect the path $P(v)$ in the order $P\left(u_{1}\right), \ldots, P\left(u_{k_{i}}\right)$ from the bottom up. Hence for each $i$ with $k_{i}>0 \Gamma$ we can independently place on a path of length $x_{i}$ the $k_{i}$ points that form the bottoms of the paths $P\left(u_{j}\right)$. The placement of these points defines a point in a simplex integrally equivalent to $\sigma_{k_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right) \Gamma$ so $\Delta_{T}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is integrally equivalent to $\sigma_{k_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times \sigma_{k_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)$ as claimed.

Example 18 Let $\bar{T}$ be the planted plane binary tree of Figure 12. On the path of length $x_{1}$ from the root $r$ to $v_{1}$ we can place vertices 1 and 3 in bijection with the points of the simplex $0 \leq t_{3} \leq t_{1} \leq x_{1}$ of volume $x_{1}^{2} / 2$. On the path of length $x_{2}$ from 1 to $v_{2}$ we can place vertex 2 in bijection with the points of the simplex $0 \leq t_{2} \leq x_{2}$, of volume $x_{2}$. Finally on the path of length $x_{4}$ from 3 to $v_{3}$ we can place vertices 4,5, 6 in bijection with the points of the simplex $0 \leq t_{6} \leq t_{5} \leq t_{4} \leq x_{4}$, of volume $x_{4}^{3} / 6$. Hence $\Delta_{T}$ is integrally equivalent to the product $\sigma_{2}\left(x_{1}\right) \times \sigma_{1}\left(x_{2}\right) \times \sigma_{3}\left(x_{4}\right)$, of volume $x_{1}^{2} x_{2} x_{4}^{3} / 2$ ! 1 ! 3 !.


Figure 12: A planted plane binary tree

It is easy to make the integral equivalence between $\Delta_{T}$ and $\sigma_{k_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times \sigma_{k_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)$ completely explicit. For instanceTin the above example $t_{3}$ is the distance between vertices $r$ and 3 「so

$$
t_{3}=x_{1}-y_{1}+x_{2}-y_{2}+x_{3}-y_{3} .
$$

Similarly $\Gamma$

$$
t_{1}=x_{1}-y_{1} .
$$

Now $t_{2}$ is the distance between vertices 1 and $2 \Gamma$ so

$$
t_{2}=x_{2}-y_{2} .
$$

In the same way we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{6} & =x_{4}-y_{y}+x_{5}-y_{5}+x_{6}-y_{6} \\
t_{5} & =x_{4}-y_{4}+x_{5}-y_{5} \\
t_{4} & =x_{4}-y_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of (c). Let $\varphi(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=(\bar{T}, \ell)$. Then the height (or distance from the root) of vertex $i$ is just $x_{1}+\cdots+x_{i}-y_{1}-\cdots-y_{i}=u_{i}-v_{i}$. Hence if vertex $i$ is covered by $j$
then $u_{i}-v_{i}<u_{j}-v_{j}$. If $i<j$ we get the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y_{i+1}-x_{i+1}\right)+\cdots+\left(y_{j}-x_{j}\right) \leq 0, \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

while if $i>j$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y_{j+1}-x_{j+1}\right)+\cdots+\left(y_{i}-x_{i}\right) \geq 0 . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus these equationsГtogether with $y_{i} \geq 0$ and $y_{1}+\cdots+y_{i} \leq x_{1}+\cdots+x_{i}$ Гdetermine $\Delta_{T}$.

Note that if we replace each $y_{k}$ by $y_{k}-x_{k}$ in the inequalities (38) and (39) defining the chambers of the fan $\boldsymbol{F}_{n}$ of Theorem 15 Гthen we obtain precisely the inequalities (40) and (41). From this we conclude the following. Given $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{n}$ Гtranslate the fan $\boldsymbol{F}_{n}$ so that the center of the translated fan $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{F}}_{n}$ is at $\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. Add a new $y_{1}$ axis and lift $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{F}}_{n}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ Tgiving a "nonpointed fan" (i.e. Гa decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfying the definition of a fan except that the cones are nonpointed) which we denote by $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{F}}_{n} \times \mathbb{R}$. (Thus each cone $\mathcal{C} \in \widetilde{\boldsymbol{F}}_{n}$ lifts to the nonpointed cone $\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{R}$.) Finally intersect each chamber (maximal cone) $\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{R}$ of $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{F}}_{n} \times \mathbb{R}$ with the polytope $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$. Then the polytopes $\mathcal{C} \cap \Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ are just the chambers $\hat{\Pi}(\boldsymbol{k} ; \boldsymbol{x})$ of the polyhedral decomposition $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$. Moreover $\Gamma$ the interior faces of this decomposition are just the intersections of arbitrary cones in $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{F}}_{n} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$. Hence the interior face poset of $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ is isomorphic to the face poset of the fan $\boldsymbol{F}_{n}$ Гwhich by Theorem 15 is the face lattice of the dual associahedron.

## Notes.

The decomposition of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ given by Theorem 15 is fundamentally different (i.e. $\Gamma$ has a different combinatorial type) than that of Theorem 8. For instance $\Gamma$ when $n=3$ Figure 6 shows that the interior face dual complex described by Theorem 8 is not a decomposition of a convex polytoper unlike the situation in Theorem 15. In that case when $n=3$ the interior face dual complex is just a solid pentagon. The two subdivisions fo $\Pi_{3}(\boldsymbol{x})$ are shown explicitly in Figure 2.

We are grateful to Victor Reiner for pointing out to us that Theorem 15 is related to the construction of the associahedron appearing in the papers [12] and [26] Гand that a $B_{n}$-analogue of this construction appears in [1Г§3]. Note that the proof of Theorem 15 shows that the extreme rays of the fan $\boldsymbol{F}_{n}$ are the vectors $e_{i}$ and $-e_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1 \Gamma$ and $e_{i}-e_{j}$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq n-1$. As pointed out to us by ReinerГit follows from [12] that we can rescale these vectors (i.e. Гmultiply them by suitable positive real numbers) so that their convex hull is combinatorially equivalent (as defined in the next section) to the dual associahedron $\mathcal{A}_{n+2}^{*}$.

Some of the results of this section can be interpreted probabilistically in terms of the kind of random plane tree with edge lengths derived from a Brownian excursion by Neveu and Pitman [21]. It was in fact by consideration of such random trees that we were first led to the formula (2) for the volume polynomiallwith the geometric interpretation provided by Theorem 17.

## $7 \quad$ The face structure of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$

In this section we determine the structure of the faces of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ Гi.e. $\Gamma$ a description of the lattice of faces of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ (ordered by inclusion). This description will depend on the "degeneracy" of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ Гi.e. Tfor which $i$ we have $x_{i}=0$. Thus let $u_{i}=x_{1}+\cdots+x_{i}$ as usual and define integers $1 \leq a_{1}<a_{2}<\cdots<a_{k}=n$ by

$$
u_{1}=\cdots=u_{a_{1}}<u_{a_{1}+1}=\cdots=u_{a_{2}}<\cdots<u_{a_{k-1}+1}=\cdots=u_{a_{k}}
$$

We say that two convex polytopes are combinatorially equivalent or have the same combinatorial type if they have isomorphic face lattices.

Theorem 19 Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$ be as above, and set $b_{i}=a_{i}-a_{i-1}$ (with $a_{0}=0$ ). Assume (without loss of generality) that $x_{1}>0$. Then $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is combinatorially equivalent to a product $\sigma_{b_{1}} \times \cdots \times \sigma_{b_{k}}$, where $\sigma_{j}$ denotes a $j$-simplex. In particular, if each $x_{i}>0$ then $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is combinatorially equivalent to an $n$-cube.

Proof. For $1 \leq i \leq k \Gamma$ let $\mathcal{S}_{i}=\left\{C_{i 0}, C_{i 1}, \ldots, C_{i, b_{i}}\right\}$ denote the set of the following $b_{i}+1$ conditions $C_{i j}$ on a point $y \in \Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(C_{i 0}\right) \quad y_{a_{i-1}+1}=y_{a_{i-1}+2}=\cdots=y_{a_{i}}=0 \\
\left(C_{i 1}\right) y_{a_{i-1}+1}=u_{i}, y_{a_{i-1}+2}=y_{a_{i-1}+3}=\cdots=y_{a_{i}}=0 \\
\left(C_{i 2}\right) y_{a_{i-1}+2}=u_{i}, y_{a_{i-1}+1}=y_{a_{i-1}+3}=\cdots=y_{a_{i}}=0 \\
\cdots \\
\left(C_{i, b_{i}}\right) \quad y_{a_{i}}=u_{i}, y_{a_{i-1}+1}=y_{a_{i-1}+2}=\cdots=y_{a_{i}-1}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that each of the conditions $C_{i j}$ consists of $b_{i}$ chambers of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$; we regard $C_{i j}$ as being the set of these chambers. Let $S_{i}$ denote any subset of $\mathcal{S}_{i} \Gamma$ and let $\cap S_{i}=\bigcap_{C \in S_{i}} C$. A little thought shows that we can find a point $y \in \Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ lying on all the chambers in each $\cap S_{i}$ Гbut not lying on any other chamber of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$. Moreover $\Gamma$ no point of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ can lie on any other collection of chambers of $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ but on no additional chambers.

From the above discussion it follows that $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is combinatorially equivalent to a product of simplices of dimensions $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k} \Gamma$ as desired. In particular $\Gamma \Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ has $\left(b_{1}+1\right)\left(b_{2}+1\right) \cdots\left(b_{k}+1\right)$ vertices $v$ Tobtained by choosing $0 \leq j_{i} \leq b_{i}$ for each $i$ and defining $v$ to be the intersection of the chambers in all the $C_{i j_{i}}$ 's.

Although $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is combinatorial equivalent to a product of simplices $\Gamma$ it is not the case that $\Pi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is affinely equivalent to such a product. For instance $\Gamma$ Figure 1 shows $\Pi_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ when $x_{1}, x_{2}>0$. We see that $\Pi_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is a quadrilateral and hence combinatorially equivalent to a square. However $\Gamma \Pi_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is not a parallelogram and hence not affinely equivalent to a square. Similarly Figure 2 shows that $\Pi_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ is combinatorially equivalent but not affinely equivalent to a 3 -cube when each $x_{i}>0$.
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