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#### Abstract

We consider the asymptotic behavior as $n \rightarrow \infty$ of the spectra of random matrices of the form $$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} Z_{n k} \rho_{n}((k, k+1))
$$ where for each $n$ the random variables $Z_{n k}$ are i.i.d. standard Gaussian and the matrices $\rho_{n}((k, k+1))$ are obtained by applying an irreducible unitary representation $\rho_{n}$ of the symmetric group on $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ to the transposition $(k, k+1)$ that interchanges $k$ and $k+1$ (thus $\rho_{n}((k, k+1))$ is both unitary and self-adjoint, with all eigenvalues either +1 or -1 ). Irreducible representations of the symmetric group on $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ are indexed by partitions $\lambda_{n}$ of $n$. A consequence of the results we establish is that if $\lambda_{n, 1} \geq \lambda_{n, 2} \geq \cdots \geq 0$ is the partition of $n$ corresponding to $\rho_{n}, \mu_{n, 1} \geq \mu_{n, 2} \geq \cdots \geq 0$ is the corresponding conjugate partition of $n$ (that is, the Young diagram of $\mu_{n}$ is the transpose of the Young diagram of $\lambda_{n}$ ), $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{n, i}}{n}=p_{i}$ for each $i \geq 1$, and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_{n, j}}{n}=q_{j}$ for each $j \geq 1$, then the spectral measure of the resulting random matrix converges in distribution to a random probability measure that is Gaussian with mean $\theta Z$ and variance $1-\theta^{2}$, where $\theta$ is the constant $\sum_{i} p_{i}^{2}-\sum_{j} q_{j}^{2}$ and $Z$ is a standard Gaussian random variable.


## 1. Introduction

Beginning with [Wig58], there has been a large body of work on various aspects of the distribution of the eigenvalues of large real symmetric or complex self-adjoint random matrices. In most cases, the stochastic structure has been the simplest possible, namely the entries of the matrix are independent apart from the constraints imposed by symmetry or self-adjointness. Simultaneously, there has been significant investigation of the eigenvalues of large Haar distributed matrices from the classical groups. Some of this research is surveyed in [Meh04, Dia03].

Recently, there has been interest in random matrices with more structure, as evidenced by [BDJ06] on the spectra of large random Hankel, Markov and Toeplitz matrices which was initiated by a list of open problems in [Bai99] (see also [HM05]). In this paper we investigate ensembles of highly structured random matrices defined in terms of representations of the symmetric group.

[^0]Let $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ denote the symmetric group of permutations of the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. If we write elements of $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ in cycle notation and, following the usual convention, omit cycles of length one, then $(k, k+1)$ denotes the permutation that interchanges $k$ and $k+1$ while leaving all other elements of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ fixed. The transpositions $(k, k+1), 1 \leq k \leq n-1$, generate $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ and, writing $e$ for the identity element of $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$, they satisfy the Coxeter relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
(k, k+1)^{2}=e  \tag{1.1}\\
(k, k+1)(\ell, \ell+1)=(\ell, \ell+1)(k, k+1), \quad|k-\ell| \geq 2  \tag{1.2}\\
(k, k+1)(k+1, k+2)(k, k+1)=(k+1, k+2)(k, k+1)(k+1, k+2) \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Suppose that $\rho$ is a (finite dimensional) unitary representation of $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$. That is, $\rho$ is a homomorphism from $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ into the group of $d \times d$ unitary matrices for some $d$ (in other words, $\rho(\pi)$ is a unitary matrix for all permutations $\pi$ and $\rho\left(\pi^{\prime} \pi^{\prime \prime}\right)=\rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right) \rho\left(\pi^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for any two permutations $\pi^{\prime}$ and $\left.\pi^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Note from (1.1) that the transposition $(k, k+1)$ is its own inverse, so that

$$
\rho((k, k+1))=\rho\left((k, k+1)^{-1}\right)=\rho((k, k+1))^{-1}=\rho((k, k+1))^{*},
$$

and hence each of the matrices $\rho((k, k+1))$ is self-adjoint. Consequently, any matrix of the form $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_{k} \rho((k, k+1))$ for real coefficients $c_{k}$ will also be selfadjoint and have real eigenvalues. In this paper we investigate the asymptotic behavior as $n \rightarrow \infty$ of this spectrum when the corresponding representations of $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ are chosen appropriately and the coefficients are chosen at random as realizations of independent Gaussian random variables with mean 0 and variance $\frac{1}{n-1}$.

In order to describe our results, we need some basic facts from the representation theory of the symmetric group. This material is available from many sources, such as [Lit06, JK81, FH91, Sim96, Ful97, Sag01, Mac95, Sta99].

First of all, any unitary representation may be decomposed into a direct sum of irreducible representations. This means that the corresponding matrices will be in block diagonal form with a block for each irreducible component. Since the measure that puts unit mass at each eigenvalue (counting multiplicities) of the random matrix we are considering will be just a mixture of the analogously defined measures for each block, it suffices to consider the case where the representation $\rho$ is irreducible.

Secondly, since we are only interested in spectra, all that matters to us is the equivalence class of the representation $\rho$ : two unitary representations $\rho^{\prime}$ and $\rho^{\prime \prime}$ are said to be equivalent if there is a unitary matrix $U$ such that $\rho^{\prime \prime}(\pi)=U^{*} \rho^{\prime}(\pi) U$ for all $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$.

The equivalence classes of irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ are indexed by the partitions of $n$ : a partition of $n$ is a sequence $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right)$ of integers such that $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{k}>0$ and $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{k}=n$ (we write $\lambda \vdash$ $n$ and $|\lambda|:=n$ ). We will describe concretely a representation corresponding to each such partition in Section 4, but all that matters for us at the moment is the dimension of the representations in each equivalence class (the dimension of an irreducible representation $\rho$ is the dimension of the matrices $\rho(\pi)$ ). A partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right) \vdash n$ may be pictured as a Young diagram consisting of $n$ boxes arranged in $k$ left-justified rows, with $\lambda_{i}$ boxes in row $i$ (where we number the rows from the top to the bottom).

We assign coordinates to the boxes in diagram of $\lambda$ using the convention for entries of a matrix: box $(i, j)$ is the box in the $i^{\text {th }}$ row from the top and the $j^{\text {th }}$ column from the left, where $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $1 \leq j \leq \lambda_{i}$. If $(i, j)$ is the coordinate of a box in the diagram of $\lambda$ we write $(i, j) \in \lambda$.

The hooklength corresponding to box $(i, j) \in \lambda$ is

$$
h_{i, j}:=\left|\left\{\left(i, j^{\prime}\right) \in \lambda: j^{\prime} \geq j\right\} \cup\left\{\left(i^{\prime}, j\right) \in \lambda: i^{\prime} \geq i\right\}\right| .
$$

The dimension of the equivalence class of irreducible representations indexed by $\lambda \vdash n$ is given by the celebrated hooklength formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\lambda}:=\frac{n!}{\prod_{(i, j) \in \lambda} h_{i, j}} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see, for example, Section 3.10 of [Sag01] for a discussion of the history of this result). An alternative formula for $f^{\lambda}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\lambda}=\frac{n!\prod_{i<j}\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}-i+j\right)}{\prod_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}+k-i\right)!} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see, for example, Exercise 9 in Section 4.3 of [Ful97] or Example 1 in Section I. 1 of [Mac95]).

Given a partition $\lambda \vdash N$, there is another partition $\lambda^{\prime} \vdash N$ called the conjugate of $\lambda$ whose Young diagram is the transpose of the Young diagram of $\lambda$; that is, $(i, j) \in \lambda^{\prime}$ if and only if $(j, i) \in \lambda$.

Our principal result is the following.
Theorem 1.1. For $n \geq 1$, let $\lambda_{n}$ be a partition of some positive integer $N_{n}$. Let $\rho_{n}$ be an irreducible unitary representation of $\mathfrak{S}_{N_{n}}$ corresponding to $\lambda_{n}$. Write $\Xi_{n}$ for the random probability measure that puts mass $1 / f^{\lambda_{n}}$ at each of the $f^{\lambda_{n}}$ eigenvalues (counting multiplicity) of the random matrix

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{n}-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{n}-1} Z_{n, k} \rho_{n}((k, k+1))
$$

where $Z_{n, 1}, Z_{n, 2}, \ldots, Z_{n, N_{n}-1}$ are independent standard Gaussian random variables. Suppose that $N_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{(i, j) \in \lambda_{n}}(j-i)}{\binom{N_{n}}{2}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i}\binom{\lambda_{i}}{2}-\sum_{j}\binom{\lambda_{j}^{\prime}}{2}}{\binom{N_{n}}{2}}=\theta
$$

exists. Then $\Xi_{n}$ converges in distribution (with respect to the topology of weak convergence of probability measures on $\mathbb{R}$ ) to a random probability measure $\Xi_{\infty}$ that is Gaussian with mean $\theta Z$ and variance $1-\theta^{2}$, where $Z$ is a standard Gaussian random variable. In particular, the (non-random) expectation measure $\mathbb{E}\left[\Xi_{\infty}\right]$ is standard Gaussian.

We give the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 2. We derive some combinatorial consequences of the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 3 and describe a particular concrete realization of the random matrices that appear in the theorem in Section 4.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Before giving the proof we recall some facts we will need about Hermite polynomials that may be found in [Nua06, HPAÜ94]. The Hermite polynomials $H_{n}$ are defined by the generating function

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{n} H_{n}(x):=\exp \left(t x-\frac{x^{2}}{2}\right) .
$$

Equivalently,

$$
H_{n}(x)=\frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} \exp \left(\frac{x^{2}}{2}\right) \frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2}\right)
$$

The polynomial $H_{n}$ has degree $n$ with leading coefficient $\frac{1}{n!}$. If $Z$ is a standard Gaussian random variable, then

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[H_{m}(Z) H_{n}(Z)\right]= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{n!}, & \text { if } m=n \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

If $W$ is the usual white noise on $[0,1]$ and $Z=W([0,1])$ (so that $Z$ is standard Gaussian), then $n!H_{n}(Z)$ is the multiple Wiener integral $\int_{[0,1]^{n}} W\left(d t_{1}\right) \cdots W\left(d t_{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 1$.

Now we can proceed to the proof. For simplicity, we will drop the index $n$ from our notation, so we are working with an irreducible unitary representation $\rho$ of $\mathfrak{S}_{N}$ associated with the partition $\lambda$ of $N$, and $\Xi$ is the random probability measure that puts mass $1 / f^{\lambda}$ at each of the $f^{\lambda}$ eigenvalues of the random self-adjoint matrix

$$
M:=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} Z_{k} \rho((k, k+1)) .
$$

Write $\chi$ for the character associated with the representation $\rho$. That is, $\chi(\pi)=$ trace $\rho(\pi)$ for $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{N}$. Note that since $\rho(\pi)$ is unitary, $|\chi(\pi)| \leq f^{\lambda}=\chi(e)$, where $e \in \mathfrak{S}_{N}$ is the identity permutation.

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int x^{s} \Xi(d x)=\frac{1}{f^{\lambda}} \operatorname{trace}\left(M^{s}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{f^{\lambda}} \frac{1}{(N-1)^{\frac{s}{2}}} \sum_{k_{1}=1}^{N-1} \cdots \sum_{k_{s}=1}^{N-1} Z_{k_{1}} \cdots Z_{k_{s}}  \tag{2.1}\\
& \quad \times \operatorname{trace}\left(\rho\left(\left(k_{1}, k_{1}+1\right)\right) \cdots \rho\left(\left(k_{s}, k_{s}+1\right)\right)\right. \\
& =\frac{1}{(N-1)^{\frac{s}{2}}} \sum_{k_{1}=1}^{N-1} \cdots \sum_{k_{s}=1}^{N-1} Z_{k_{1}} \cdots Z_{k_{s}} \frac{\left.\chi\left(\left(k_{1}, k_{1}+1\right)\right) \cdots\left(k_{s}, k_{s}+1\right)\right)}{\chi(e)} .
\end{align*}
$$

The value of $\chi(\pi)$ only depends on the conjugacy class to which $\pi$ belongs, and two permutations belong to the same conjugacy class if and only if they have the same cycle type: the cycle type of $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{N}$ is the partition of $N$ that lists the lengths of the cycles of $\pi$ in decreasing order.

We wish to decompose the sum (2.1) according to the cycle type of the product $\left(k_{1}, k_{1}+1\right) \cdots\left(k_{s}, k_{s}+1\right)$ and show that the contribution of most cycle types is negligible as $N \rightarrow \infty$. As a device for doing this, given a vector $k=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{s}\right) \in$ $\{1, \ldots, N-1\}^{s}$ we build an edge-labeled graph $G_{k}$ with vertices $\{1, \ldots, s\}$ by
putting an edge between the vertices $1 \leq p<q \leq s$ if $\left|k_{p}-k_{q}\right| \leq 1$ and labeling this edge with the integer $k_{q}-k_{p}$. There are $4^{\binom{s}{2}}$ graphs on $s$ vertices where each edge is labeled with an element of $\{-1,0,1\}$, so the graphs that can arise from the construction are contained, independently of $N$, in a fixed finite set. Moreover, if $k^{\prime}$ and $k^{\prime \prime}$ are two vectors with $G_{k^{\prime}}=G_{k^{\prime \prime}}$, then, by the Coxeter relations (1.1, 1.2, 1.3) the product $\left(k_{1}^{\prime}, k_{1}^{\prime}+1\right) \cdots\left(k_{s}^{\prime}, k_{s}^{\prime}+1\right)$ has the same cycle type as the product $\left(k_{1}^{\prime \prime}, k_{1}^{\prime \prime}+1\right) \cdots\left(k_{s}^{\prime \prime}, k_{s}^{\prime \prime}+1\right)$ and so $\chi\left(\left(k_{1}^{\prime}, k_{1}^{\prime}+1\right) \cdots\left(k_{s}^{\prime}, k_{s}^{\prime}+1\right)\right)=\chi\left(\left(k_{1}^{\prime \prime}, k_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\right.\right.$ 1) $\cdots\left(k_{s}^{\prime \prime}, k_{s}^{\prime \prime}+1\right)$.

Consider first of all a graph $G^{*}$ which has at least one connected component of size 3 or greater and all other connected components of size at least 2. Since $\#\left\{k \in\{1, \ldots, N-1\}^{s}: G_{k}=G^{*}\right\}=\mathrm{o}\left((N-1)^{\frac{s}{2}}\right)$ and $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(Z_{k_{1}} \cdots Z_{k_{s}}\right)^{2}\right]$ is uniformly bounded, it is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(N-1)^{\frac{s}{2}}} \sum_{\left\{k: G_{k}=G^{*}\right\}} Z_{k_{1}} \cdots Z_{k_{s}}=0, \quad \text { in } L^{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider next a graph $G^{*}$ which has at least one connected component of size 3 and $r \geq 1$ connected components of size 1 , so that the number of connected components of size 2 or greater is strictly less that $\frac{s-r}{2}$. Note that if $G_{k^{\prime}}=G_{k^{\prime \prime}}=$ $G^{*}$, and the vertex $p$ is one of the $r$ components of size 1 of $G^{*}$, then it is certainly the case that $k_{q}^{\prime \prime} \neq k_{p}^{\prime \prime}$ for $q \neq p$, and so if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[Z_{k_{1}^{\prime}} \cdots Z_{k_{s}^{\prime}} Z_{k_{1}^{\prime \prime}} \cdots Z_{k_{s}^{\prime \prime}}\right] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is non-zero, then $k_{p}^{\prime \prime} \in\left\{k_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, k_{s}^{\prime}\right\}$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{\left\{k: G_{k}=G^{*}\right\}} Z_{k_{1}} \cdots Z_{k_{s}}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \quad=\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{\left\{k^{\prime}, k^{\prime \prime}: G_{k^{\prime}}=G_{k^{\prime \prime}}=G^{*}\right\}} Z_{k_{1}^{\prime}} \cdots Z_{k_{s}^{\prime}} Z_{k_{1}^{\prime \prime}} \cdots Z_{k_{s}^{\prime \prime}}\right] \\
& \quad=\mathrm{o}\left((N-1)^{r+\frac{s-r}{2}+\frac{s-r}{2}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and (2.2) holds for such a choice of $G^{*}$.
We are therefore left with considering the contribution of graphs with connected components of size at most 2 .

We begin the analysis of such graphs by showing show that the contribution from those with at least one edges labeled with a +1 or a -1 is negligible as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose, therefore, that $G^{*}$ is a graph with connected components of size at most 2 , $u$ edges labeled $+1, v$ edges labeled -1 , and $w$ edges labeled 0 , with either $u>0$ or $v>0$, so there $u+v+w$ connected components consisting of two vertices and $s-2(u+v+w)$ connected components consisting of a single vertex. Consider vectors $k^{\prime}$ and $k^{\prime \prime}$ with $G_{k^{\prime}}=G_{k^{\prime \prime}}=G^{*}$. Consider first one of the $u+v$ components of size 2 of $G^{*}$ with an edge labeled $\pm 1$ consists of the vertices $p$ and $q$ with $1 \leq p<q \leq s$. By the construction of $G_{k^{\prime \prime}}$ we have $k_{q}^{\prime \prime}-k_{p}^{\prime \prime}= \pm 1$ and $k_{t}^{\prime \prime} \notin\left\{k_{p}^{\prime \prime}, k_{q}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ for $t \notin\{p, q\}$. Thus, if (2.3) is non-zero, then for some $1 \leq h \neq \ell \leq s$ we have $k_{h}^{\prime}=k_{p}^{\prime \prime}$ and $k_{\ell}^{\prime}=k_{q}^{\prime \prime}$, and, by the construction of $G_{k^{\prime}}$, this means that one of the $u+v$ components of size 2 of $G_{k^{\prime}}$ with an edge labeled $\pm 1$ consists of the vertices $h$ and $\ell$. Next consider one
of the components of size 1 of $G^{*}$ consisting of the vertex $r$. By the construction of $G_{k^{\prime \prime}}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|k_{t}^{\prime \prime}-k_{r}^{\prime \prime}\right|>1, \quad \text { for } t \neq r \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expectation (2.3) will be zero unless for some $1 \leq m \leq s$ we have $k_{m}^{\prime}=k_{r}^{\prime \prime}$. Moreover, we must have that the vertex $m$ is one of the components of size 1 of $G^{*}$ : if $m$ belonged to a component of size 2 with its edge labeled 0 , then (2.3) would still be zero because $\mathbb{E}\left[Z_{k_{r}^{\prime \prime}}^{3}\right]=0$, and if $m$ belonged to a component of size 2 with its edge labeled $\pm 1$ with the other vertex being $h$, then $\left|k_{h}^{\prime}-k_{m}^{\prime}\right|=1$ and $k_{h}^{\prime} \neq k_{g}^{\prime}$ for $g \neq h$ by construction of $G_{k^{\prime}}$, and so (2.3) would still be zero because (2.4) would give $k_{h}^{\prime} \neq k_{t}^{\prime \prime}$ for $1 \leq t \leq s$. Putting these observations together, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{\left\{k^{\prime}, k^{\prime \prime}: G_{k^{\prime}}=G_{k^{\prime \prime}}=G^{*}\right\}} Z_{k_{1}^{\prime}} \cdots Z_{k_{s}^{\prime}} Z_{k_{1}^{\prime \prime}} \cdots Z_{k_{s}^{\prime \prime}}\right] \\
& \quad=\mathrm{O}\left((N-1)^{u+v+w+(s-2(u+v+w))+w}\right) \\
& \quad=\mathrm{O}\left((N-1)^{s-u-v}\right) \\
& \quad=\mathrm{o}\left((N-1)^{s}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and (2.2) holds for this choice of $G^{*}$.
We are now left with graphs that consist of $r$ connected components of size 1 and $t$ connected components of size 2 with an edge labeled 0 , where $0 \leq r \leq s$ and $r+2 t=s$. If two such graphs $G^{*}$ and $G^{* *}$ share the the same value of $r$, then

$$
\sum_{\left\{k: G_{k}=G^{*}\right\}} Z_{k_{1}} \cdots Z_{k_{s}}=\sum_{\left\{k: G_{k}=G^{* *}\right\}} Z_{k_{1}} \cdots Z_{k_{s}}=\sum Z_{a_{1}} \cdots Z_{a_{r}} Z_{b_{1}}^{2} \cdots Z_{b_{t}}^{2}
$$

where the sum is over distinct $1 \leq a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{t} \leq N-1$, and the cycle type of the product $\left(k_{1}, k_{1}+1\right) \cdots\left(k_{s}, k_{s}+1\right)$ is the same whether $G_{k}=G^{*}$ or $G_{k}=G^{* *}$, namely $N-2 r 1$-cycles and $r 2$-cycles. The number of connected graphs on $s$ vertices with $r$ connected components of size 1 and $t$ connected components of size 2 is

$$
\binom{s}{r}(2 t-1)(2 t-3) \cdots 1=\binom{s}{r} \mathbb{E}\left[Z^{s-r}\right],
$$

since there are $\binom{s}{r}$ to choose the vertices that will comprise the $r$ connected components of size 1 and $(2 t-1)(2 t-3) \cdots 1$ ways to match the remaining $2 t$ vertices into unordered pairs that will comprise the $t$ connected components of size 2 .

Reintroducing the index $n$, we have shown that in order to understand the joint asymptotic behavior as $n \rightarrow \infty$ of $\int x^{s} \Xi_{n}(d x), s \geq 0$, it suffices to understand the joint asymptotic behavior of

$$
\frac{1}{\left(N_{n}-1\right)^{\frac{s}{2}}} \sum_{r=0}^{s}\binom{s}{r} \mathbb{E}\left[Z^{s-r}\right] \frac{\chi_{n}\left(1^{N_{n}-2 r} 2^{r}\right)}{\chi_{n}(e)} \sum Z_{n, a_{1}} \cdots Z_{n, a_{r}} Z_{n, b_{1}}^{2} \cdots Z_{n, b_{t}}^{2},
$$

where $\chi_{n}$ is the character of the representation $\rho_{n}, \chi_{n}\left(1^{N_{n}-2 r} 2^{r}\right)$ is the value of this character on any permutation consisting of $N_{n}-2 r 1$-cycles and $r 2$-cycles, and the interior sum is over $1 \leq a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{t} \leq N_{n}-1$ where $r+2 t=s$ and distance between each pair of indices is at least 2 (the fact that $\mathbb{E}\left[Z^{s-r}\right]=0$ when $s-r$ is odd allows us to write the outer sum over all $0 \leq r \leq s$, including those for which $s-r$ is odd and so no such $t$ exists). Our estimates above show that we get
the same asymptotic behavior if we replace the condition that the distance between each pair of indices is at least 2 by the the condition that the indices are distinct.

We may take all of the random variables $Z_{n, k}, 1 \leq k \leq N_{n}-1, n \geq 1$, to be defined on the same probability space by putting

$$
\left.\left.\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{n}-1}} Z_{n, k}:=W( \rfloor \frac{k-1}{N_{n}-1}, \frac{k}{N_{n}-1}\right\rfloor\right),
$$

where $W$ is a white noise on $[0,1]$. It then follows from an argument similar to that in the proof of Proposition 1.1.2 of [Nua06] that

$$
\frac{1}{\left(N_{n}-1\right)^{\frac{s}{2}}} \sum Z_{n, a_{1}} \cdots Z_{n, a_{r}} Z_{n, b_{1}}^{2} \cdots Z_{n, b_{t}}^{2}
$$

converges in probability to

$$
\int_{[0,1]^{r}} W\left(d t_{1}\right) \cdots W\left(d t_{r}\right)=r!H_{r}(Z)
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $Z=W([0,1])$ is standard Gaussian.
An expression for

$$
\frac{\chi_{n}\left(1^{N_{n}-2} 2^{1}\right)}{\chi_{n}(e)}
$$

is given in [Fro00] (see also [Ing50]) that is equal to both

$$
\frac{\sum_{i}\binom{\lambda_{i}}{2}-\sum_{j}\binom{\lambda_{j}^{\prime}}{2}}{\binom{N_{n}}{2}}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\sum_{(i, j) \in \lambda_{n}}(j-i)}{\binom{N_{n}}{2}}
$$

(see Exercise 7 in Section I.7, Exercise 3 in Section I.1, and equation (1.6) of [Mac95]).

Given any sequence of integers, there will be a further subsequence along which

$$
\frac{\chi_{n}\left(1^{N_{n}-2 r} 2^{r}\right)}{\chi_{n}(e)}
$$

has a limit for all $r$ (recall that $\left|\chi_{n}(\pi)\right| \leq \chi(e)$. Denote this subsequential limit by $\kappa_{r}$. By assumption $\kappa_{1}=\theta$. It follows that for each $z \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a probability distribution $\xi_{z}$ with the sequence of moments

$$
\sum_{r=0}^{s} s(s-1) \cdots(s-r+1) \mathbb{E}\left[Z^{s-r}\right] \kappa_{r} H_{r}(z), \quad s \geq 0
$$

Recall that the leading term of $H_{n}(z)$ is $\frac{z^{n}}{n!}$ and so

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow+\infty} \int\left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{s} \xi_{z}(d x)=\kappa_{s}, \quad s \geq 0
$$

so that the sequence $\kappa_{s}$ is itself the sequence of moments of a probability distribution, say $\bar{\xi}$.

An expression for

$$
\frac{\chi_{n}\left(1^{N_{n}-4} 2^{2}\right)}{\chi_{n}(e)}
$$

is given in [Ing50] that, from Table 3 of [CGS04], is equivalent to

$$
\frac{4\left(N_{n}-4\right)!}{N_{n}!}\left(\left(\sum_{(i, j) \in \lambda_{n}}(j-i)\right)^{2}-3 \sum_{(i, j) \in \lambda_{n}}(j-i)^{2}+2\binom{N_{n}}{2}\right)
$$

It follows that $\kappa_{2} \leq \kappa_{1}^{2}$ and hence, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the probability distribution $\bar{\xi}$ is the point mass at $\kappa_{1}=\theta$. Therefore, $\kappa_{s}=\theta^{s}$. Since this is true for any subsequential limit, we must have that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int x^{s} \Xi_{n}(d x)=\sum_{r=0}^{s} s(s-1) \cdots(s-r+1) \mathbb{E}\left[Z^{s-r}\right] \theta^{r} H_{r}(Z)
$$

in probability for all $s \geq 0$.
It remains to note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{s}}{s!} \sum_{r=0}^{s} s(s-1) \cdots(s-r+1) \mathbb{E}\left[Z^{s-r}\right] \theta^{r} H_{r}(z) \\
& \quad=\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} t^{s} \sum_{r=0}^{s} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[Z^{s-r}\right]}{(s-r)!} \theta^{r} H_{r}(z) \\
& \quad=\left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{s}}{s!} \mathbb{E}\left[Z^{s}\right]\right)\left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}(\theta t)^{s} H_{s}(z)\right) \\
& \quad=\exp \left(\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right) \exp \left(\theta t z-\frac{(\theta t)^{2}}{2}\right) \\
& \quad=\exp \left(\theta z t+\left(1-\theta^{2}\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which we recognize as the moment generating function of a Gaussian probability measure with mean $\theta z$ and variance $1-\theta^{2}$.

Remark 2.1. It is clear that the conditions of the theorem will hold for a sequence $\lambda_{n}$ of partitions if and only if it holds for the sequence $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}$ of their conjugates with the corresponding constant being $\theta^{\prime}=-\theta$. Since $-Z$ has the same distribution as $Z$, we see that the distributions of the two limiting random measures are equal.

Remark 2.2. If we think of both $\lambda_{n}$ and the conjugate $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}$ for each $n$ as infinite, non-decreasing sequences of non-negative integers by appending zeros, then the conditions of the theorem will hold with

$$
\theta=\sum_{i} p_{i}^{2}-\sum_{j} q_{j}^{2}
$$

if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{n, i}}{N_{n}}=p_{i}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{n, j}^{\prime}}{N_{n}}=q_{j}
$$

for all $i, j$.

Remark 2.3. In the proof of the theorem we used formulae for the character ratio $\frac{\chi_{n}\left(1^{N_{n}-2 r} 2^{r}\right)}{\chi_{n}(e)}$ for $r=1$ and $r=2$ due, respectively to [Fro00] and [Ing50]. While the Murnaghan-Nakayama rule that we will discuss in Section 3 gives a recursive procedure for evaluating such a ratio, closed form expressions for arbitrary character values appear to have only been known in a few cases until the recent work of [Las05] building on [CGS04].
Remark 2.4. Suppose that $\lambda_{n}$ is chosen at random from the set of partitions of $N_{n}=n$ according to Plancherel measure - the probability measure that assigns mass $\frac{\left(f^{\mu}\right)^{2}}{n!}$ to each $\mu \vdash n$. It follows from the orthogonality relations for irreducible characters that the corresponding character $\chi_{n}$ is such that $\frac{\chi_{n}\left(1^{n-2} 2^{1}\right)}{\chi_{n}(e)}$ has mean 0 and variance $\frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}$. It is clear from the proof of the theorem and the Borel-Cantelli lemma that the condition of the theorem holds with $\theta=0$ almost surely. It was shown in [Ker93] that

$$
\sqrt{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{\chi_{n}\left(1^{n-2} 2^{1}\right)}{\chi_{n}(e)}
$$

is asymptotically normally distributed with mean 0 and variance 1 . There has been considerable further work on the asymptotics of random character ratios: see, for example, [Ful06a, Śni06, Ful06b, SS06, Ful05, BOO00, IO02, Hor98].

## 3. Two combinatorial identities

In the proof of Theorem 1.1, we showed that if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\chi_{n}\left(1^{N_{n}-2} 2^{1}\right)}{\chi_{n}(e)}=\theta$ existed, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\chi_{n}\left(1^{N_{n}-2 r} 2^{r}\right)}{\chi_{n}(e)}=\theta^{r}$ for all $r \geq 0$. The Murnaghan-Nakayama formula, which we review below, gives a recursive expression for such character ratios, and taking limits we will derive a pair of apparently non-trivial combinatorial equalities.

Given a partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right) \vdash n$ and a partition $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{\ell}\right) \vdash$ $p$ for $p<n$, we write $\mu \subset \lambda$ if $\ell \leq k$ and $\mu_{i} \leq \lambda_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. In other words, the Young diagram of $\nu$ is a subset of the Young diagram of $\lambda$. We write $\mu \prec \lambda$ if $p=n-2, \mu \subset \lambda$, and the difference between the Young diagrams consists of a connected "domino" made up of two boxes; that is, if $\{(i, j) \in \lambda\} \backslash\{(i, j) \in \mu\}$ is of the form $\left\{\left(i^{*}, j^{*}\right),\left(i^{*}, j^{*}+1\right)\right\}$ (a horizontal domino) or $\left\{\left(i^{*}, j^{*}\right),\left(i^{*}+1, j^{*}\right)\right\}$ (a vertical domino). In the former case, set $\zeta(\mu, \lambda):=+1$, and in the latter case set $\zeta(\mu, \lambda):=-1$. Given partitions $\lambda \vdash n$ and $\nu \vdash n-2 m$ for some positive integer $m$, we extend the definition of $\prec$ by declaring that $\mu \prec \lambda$ if there is a chain of partitions $\mu=\nu_{0} \prec \nu_{1} \prec \cdots \prec \nu_{m}=\lambda$. In this case we extend the definition of $\zeta$ by putting

$$
\zeta(\mu, \lambda):=\sum_{\mu=\nu_{0} \prec \nu_{1} \prec \cdots \prec \nu_{m}=\lambda} \prod_{h=0}^{m-1} \zeta\left(\nu_{h}, \nu_{h+1}\right),
$$

where the sum is over all sequences of partitions $\mu=\nu_{0} \prec \nu_{1} \prec \cdots \prec \nu_{m}=\lambda$.
It follows from the Murnaghan-Nakayama formula (see Section 4.10 of [Sag01], formula 2.4.7 of [JK81], or Example 5 in Section I. 7 of [Mac95]) that

$$
\frac{\chi_{n}\left(1^{N_{n}-2 r} 2^{r}\right)}{\chi_{n}(e)}=\sum_{\mu \prec \lambda_{n}, \mu \vdash N_{n}-2 r} \zeta\left(\mu, \lambda_{n}\right) \frac{f^{\mu}}{f^{\lambda_{n}}}
$$

Fix a sequence of partitions $\lambda_{n}=\left(\lambda_{n, 1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n, K}\right)$, each with $K$ parts, such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|=\infty$ and

$$
\lambda_{n, i}-\lambda_{n, i+1}=2 \eta_{i}+1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq K-1
$$

for non-negative integers $\eta_{i}$. The conditions of Theorem 1.1 holds with $\theta=\frac{1}{K}$.
Drop the index $n$ for the moment and consider partitions $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{K}\right)$ with $\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{i+1}=2 \eta_{i}+1,1 \leq i \leq K-1$, and $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{K}\right)$ with $\lambda_{i}-\mu_{i}=2 \delta_{i}$ for nonnegative integers $\delta_{i}$ such that $\sum_{i} \delta_{i}=r$, so that $\mu \vdash|\lambda|-2 r$. Suppose, moreover, that $2 \delta_{i} \leq 2 \delta_{i+1}+2 \eta_{i}+1,1 \leq i \leq K-1$, or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{i} \leq \delta_{i+1}+\eta_{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq K-1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mu \prec \lambda$ and all sequences $\mu=\nu_{0} \prec \nu_{1} \prec \cdots \prec \nu_{r}=\lambda$ are obtained by at each stage suitably adding a horizontal domino.

We enumerate the admissible chains as follows. Define a partition $\alpha=$ ( $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{K}$ ) by

$$
\alpha_{i}:=\delta_{K}+\eta_{K-1}+\cdots+\eta_{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq K-1,
$$

and

$$
\alpha_{K}:=\delta_{K}
$$

(this partition may have some parts that are 0 , so we are extending our previous definition slightly, but we do have $\alpha_{1} \geq \alpha_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_{K} \geq 0$ ). Define another partition $\beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{K}\right)$ by

$$
\beta_{i}:=\alpha_{i}-\delta_{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq K-1
$$

and

$$
\beta_{K}:=0
$$

(this partition has some parts that are 0 , but we do have $\beta_{1} \geq \beta_{2} \geq \cdots \beta_{K} \geq 0$ from (3.1)). Note that the Young diagram of $\beta$ is a subset of the Young diagram of $\alpha$. There is only one way to tile the set difference of the Young diagrams of $\lambda$ and $\nu$ with horizontal dominoes, and each such domino corresponds to a unique box in the set difference of the Young diagrams of $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Moreover, the successive addition of horizontal dominoes implicit in a chain $\mu=\nu_{0} \prec \nu_{1} \prec \cdots \prec \nu_{r}=\lambda$ correspond to a labeling of the $r$ boxes in the set difference of the Young diagrams of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ with the integers $1, \ldots, r$ such that the labels in any row or column are increasing: the box labeled $k$ corresponds to the $k^{\text {th }}$ domino to be added to produce the Young diagram of $\mu_{k}$ from the Young diagram of $\mu_{k-1}$. From Corollary 7.16.3 of [Sta99], the number of such labelings is

$$
\zeta(\mu, \nu)=r!\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{1}{\left(\alpha_{i}-\beta_{j}-i+j\right)!}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq K}
$$

with the convention that the factorial of a negative integer is zero (in the usual terminology, this quantity is the number of standard skew Young tableaux with shape $\alpha / \beta$ ).

Returning to the sequence of partitions $\lambda_{n}$, put $\bar{\lambda}_{i}:=\lambda_{n, i}-\lambda_{n, K}$ for $1 \leq i \leq K$ (these quantities are independent of $n$ by assumption). It follows from (1.5) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r!\sum \operatorname{det}\left(\frac{1}{\left(\delta_{j}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{\lambda}_{i}-\bar{\lambda}_{j}-i+j\right)\right)!}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq K} \\
& \quad \times \frac{\prod_{i<j}\left(\bar{\lambda}_{i}-\bar{\lambda}_{j}-2 \delta_{i}+2 \delta_{j}-i+j\right)}{\prod_{i<j}\left(\bar{\lambda}_{i}-\bar{\lambda}_{j}-i+j\right) .} \\
& =\kappa_{r} K^{2 r} \\
& =K^{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the sum is over all non-negative integers $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{K}$ with

$$
\delta_{i} \leq \delta_{i+1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{\lambda}_{i}-\bar{\lambda}_{i+1}-1\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq K-1,
$$

and $\sum_{i} \delta_{i}=r$.
Suppose now that we specialize the preceding discussion to the case where $\eta_{1}=$ $\eta_{2}=\cdots=\eta_{K}=0$. The partitions $\mu$ with $\mu \vdash|\lambda|-2 r$ have $0 \leq \delta_{1} \leq \cdots \leq \delta_{K}$ and the computation of $\zeta(\mu, \lambda)$ reduces to finding the number of standard Young tableaux with shape $\left(\delta_{K}, \delta_{K-1}, \ldots, \delta_{1}\right)$ (that is, the number of ways of labeling the $r$ boxes in the Young diagram corresponding to this partition with the integers $1, \ldots, r$ in such a way that the labels in any row or column are increasing). This latter quantity is just $f^{\left(\delta_{K}, \delta_{K-1}, \ldots, \delta_{1}\right)}$ and is given by the analogue of (1.5). After a little algebra we get that

$$
\frac{r!}{\prod_{i}(i-1)!} \sum \frac{\prod_{i<j}\left(\delta_{j}-\delta_{i}+j-i\right)^{2}}{\prod_{i}\left(\delta_{i}+i-1\right)!}=K^{r},
$$

where the sum is over $0 \leq \delta_{1} \leq \cdots \leq \delta_{K}$ with $\sum_{i} \delta_{i}=r$.
As a check on this result, consider the special case when $K=2$. Then the sum is over $\delta_{1}=q$ and $\delta_{2}=r-q$ where $0 \leq q \leq\left\lfloor\frac{r}{2}\right\rfloor$, and, by the symmetry of the binomial distribution and the usual formula for its variance,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r!\sum_{q=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{r}{2}\right\rfloor} \frac{(r-2 q+1)^{2}}{q!(r-q+1)!} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{r+1} 2^{r+1} 2^{2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{r+1}\binom{r+1}{q}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{r+1}\left(q-\frac{r+1}{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{r+1} 2^{r+1} 2^{2} \frac{1}{2}(r+1) \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \\
& \quad=2^{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

as claimed.

## 4. An explicit matrix realization

Young's orthogonal representation is a concrete element $\rho$ of the equivalence class of irreducible unitary representations associated with a given partition $\lambda \vdash N$ (see, for example, [Rut48, JK81, Gre92]). The representing matrices are real (and hence orthogonal). Their rows and columns are indexed by the $f^{\lambda}$ standard Young
tableaux for the Young diagram of $\lambda$, and $\rho(\pi)$ has a particularly simple expression when $\pi$ is one of the Coxeter generators $(k, k+1)$.

To be more explicit, suppose that $T$ is a standard Young tableau for $\lambda \vdash N$ and $1 \leq k \leq N-1$. Write $\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)$ (respectively, $\left(i^{\prime \prime}, j^{\prime \prime}\right)$ ) for the coordinates of the box in which $k$ (respectively, $k+1$ ) appears. Set

$$
d(T, k):=j^{\prime \prime}-i^{\prime \prime}-j^{\prime}+i^{\prime} .
$$

Denote by $(k, k+1) T$ the tableau formed from $T$ by interchanging $k$ and $k+1-$ this will only be a standard tableau if $k$ and $k+1$ don't appear in the same row or the same column of $T$. The $(T, T)$ entry of $\rho((k, k+1))$ is $d(T, k)^{-1}$. The $(T, U)$ entry of $\rho((k, k+1))$ is $\sqrt{1-d(T, k)^{-2}}$ if $U=(k, k+1) T$ and 0 otherwise (so that each row and column of the symmetric matrix $\rho((k, k+1))$ has at most one non-zero off-diagonal entry).

For example, suppose that $\lambda=(N-1,1)$. A standard Young tableau for $\lambda$ will be one of the tableau $T_{2}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{N}$, where $T_{\ell}$ has $\ell$ in the single box in the second row of $\lambda$ and the numbers $1,2, \ldots, \ell-1, \ell+1, \ldots, N$ in order in the $N-1$ boxes of the first row. Note that $d\left(T_{\ell}, k\right)=1$ if $\ell \notin\{k, k+1\}$, whereas $d\left(T_{k}, k\right)=k$ and $d\left(T_{k+1}, k\right)=-k$. Observe also that $(k, k+1) T_{k}=T_{k+1}$ and $(k, k+1) T_{k+1}=T_{k}$ if $k \neq 1$, whereas $(k, k+1) T_{\ell}$ is not standard if $k=1$ or $k \neq 1$ and $\ell \notin\{k, k+1\}$. Consequently, the matrix $\rho((1,2))$ has $\left(T_{2}, T_{2}\right)$ entry -1 , all other diagonal entries +1 , and all off-diagonal entries 0 . Similarly, the matrix $\rho((k, k+1))$ for $k \neq 1$ has $\left(T_{k}, T_{k}\right)$ entry $+\frac{1}{k},\left(T_{k+1}, T_{k+1}\right)$ entry $-\frac{1}{k}$, remaining diagonal entries $+1,\left(T_{k}, T_{k+1}\right)$ and $\left(T_{k+1}, T_{k}\right)$ entries $\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{k^{2}}}$, and all other entries 0 .

Returning to a general partition $\lambda$, it is straightforward to describe the variances and covariances of the various entries in the centered Gaussian random matrix

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{N-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} Z_{k} \rho((k, k+1))
$$

where the $Z_{k}$ are i.i.d. standard Gaussian, but the formulae do not appear to simplify to anything particularly pleasant.
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